

CONNECTICUT

Park Operational Base Summary: The table below shows the annual park operating base for all park units within this state. Park operational base funds are supplemented by as yet undetermined amounts of project funding from regional or servicewide-managed programs, such as cyclic maintenance, the Natural Resources Preservation Program, and the Drug Enforcement Program.

If a park unit is in more than one state, then the park unit is included in each of the appropriate state tables. The full operating base is shown; no attempt has been made to split the park operating base amount between two or more states.

		(dollars in thousands)				
Congress'l District	Park Units	FY 2004	FY 2005	FY 2006	FY 2006	FY 2006
		Enacted	Estimate	Uncontrol Changes	Program Changes	Request
	00 Appalachian NST	1,024	1,054	18	0	1,072
	FY 2005 Visitor Service Increase ¹	0	83	0	0	83
	Total Appalachian NST	[1,024]	[1,137]	[18]	[0]	[1,155]
	05 Weir Farm NHS	794	814	9	0	823

All FY 2006 increases consist of uncontrollable funding related to pay and benefits. Fleet and management efficiency savings have yet to be distributed at the park level.

This table does not include funding for Trails and Other Affiliated Areas that are not park units, nor programs from other appropriations such as General Management Plans, Land Acquisition, Line Item Construction, Federal Lands Highway Program, and Historic Preservation Fund State Grants. Information on the distribution of funds to these entities and programs is outlined on the following pages. There are separate sections on General Management Plans and the Trails Management Program.

¹ These funds are part of a total \$12.478 million distributed to 67 parks, 10 trails, 3 affiliated areas, and servicewide trail GIS support that was provided in FY 2005 to bolster visitor services. These funds are not considered a permanent addition to any of the parks' operational base funding. The continuation of these funds beyond FY 2005 is contingent upon a review of park base operations at all parks prior to distribution of the enacted FY 2006 appropriation. Should this examination determine that the funds could be more efficiently utilized to provide services at other parks, the funds will be moved (subject to reprogramming guidelines).

CONNECTICUT

FY 2006 Programmatic Park Base Increases

NONE

CONNECTICUT (NER)
FY 2006 Proposed Program
(dollars in thousands)

PROGRAMS AND PROJECTS FUNDED OUTSIDE OF THE OPERATING ACCOUNT:

GENERAL MANAGEMENT PLANS (See GMP section for further information)
None

SPECIAL STUDIES (See GMP section for further information)

<u>Study Area</u>	<u>Type of Project</u>
Coltsville	Ongoing Study

LAND ACQUISITION
None

CONSTRUCTION: LINE ITEM CONSTRUCTION
None

HISTORIC PRESERVATION FUND: STATE GRANTS
State apportionment: \$573

STATE CONSERVATION GRANTS
None

DELAWARE

FY 2006 Programmatic Park Base Increases

NONE

DELAWARE
FY 2006 Proposed Program
(dollars in thousands)

PROGRAMS AND PROJECTS FUNDED OUTSIDE OF THE OPERATING ACCOUNT:

GENERAL MANAGEMENT PLANS (See GMP section for further information)
None

SPECIAL STUDIES (See GMP section for further information)
None

LAND ACQUISITION
None

CONSTRUCTION: LINE ITEM CONSTRUCTION
None

HISTORIC PRESERVATION FUND: STATE GRANTS
State apportionment: \$455

STATE CONSERVATION GRANTS
None

MAINE

Park Operational Base Summary: The table below shows the annual park operating base for all park units within this state. Park operational base funds are supplemented by as yet undetermined amounts of project funding from regional or servicewide-managed programs, such as cyclic maintenance, the Natural Resources Preservation Program, and the Drug Enforcement Program.

If a park unit is in more than one state, then the park unit is included in each of the appropriate state tables. The full operating base is shown; no attempt has been made to split the park operating base amount between two or more states.

Congress'l District Park Units	(dollars in thousands)				
	FY 2004 Enacted	FY 2005 Estimate	FY 2006 Uncontrol Changes	FY 2006 Program Changes	FY 2006 Request
01,02 Acadia NP	6,277	6,424	81	0	6,505
00 Appalachian NST	1,024	1,054	18	0	1,072
FY 2005 Visitor Service Increase ¹	0	83	0	0	83
Total Appalachian NST	[1,024]	[1,137]	[18]	[0]	[1,155]
02 Saint Croix Island IHS	202	207	0	0	207

All FY 2006 increases consist of uncontrollable funding related to pay and benefits. Fleet and management efficiency savings have yet to be distributed at the park level.

This table does not include funding for Trails and Other Affiliated Areas that are not park units, nor programs from other appropriations such as General Management Plans, Land Acquisition, Line Item Construction, Federal Lands Highway Program, and Historic Preservation Fund State Grants. Information on the distribution of funds to these entities and programs is outlined on the following pages. There are separate sections on General Management Plans and the Trails Management Program.

¹ Reflects a transfer of funds to Statutory Aid

¹ These funds are part of a total \$12.478 million distributed to 67 parks, 10 trails, 3 affiliated areas, and servicewide trail GIS support that was provided in FY 2005 to bolster visitor services. These funds are not considered a permanent addition to any of the parks' operational base funding. The continuation of these funds beyond FY 2005 is contingent upon a review of park base operations at all parks prior to distribution of the enacted FY 2006 appropriation. Should this examination determine that the funds could be more efficiently utilized to provide services at other parks, the funds will be moved (subject to reprogramming guidelines).

MAINE

FY 2006 Programmatic Park Base Increases

NONE

MAINE

Trails and Other Affiliated Areas Operational Base Summary: The table below shows the annual operating base for all Trails and Other Field Offices and Affiliated Areas that are not park units, within this state.

If a trail or affiliated area is in more than one state, it is included in each of the appropriate state tables. The full operational base is shown; no attempt has been made to split the operational base between two or more states.

	(dollars in thousands)				
	FY 2004 Enacted	FY 2005 Estimate	FY 2006 Uncontrol Changes	FY 2006 Program Changes	FY 2006 Request
Trails and Affiliated Areas					
Maine Acadian Culture Technical Assistance	72	74	0	0	74
Roosevelt Campobello International Park	0	920	0	0	920

FY 2006 fleet and management efficiency savings have yet to be distributed at the entity level.

This table does not include funding for programs from other appropriations such as General Management Plans, Land Acquisition, Line Item Construction, Federal Lands Highway Program, and Historic Preservation Fund State Grants. Information on the distribution of funds in these programs is outlined on the following pages. There are separate sections on General Management Plans and the Trails Management Program.

MAINE (NER)
FY 2006 Proposed Program
(dollars in thousands)

PROGRAMS AND PROJECTS FUNDED OUTSIDE OF THE OPERATING ACCOUNT:

GENERAL MANAGEMENT PLANS (See GMP section for further information)

<u>Park Area</u>	<u>Type of Project</u>
Acadia NP (Schoodic Unit)	Ongoing Project

SPECIAL STUDIES (See GMP section for further information)

None

LAND ACQUISITION

None

CONSTRUCTION: LINE ITEM CONSTRUCTION

None

HISTORIC PRESERVATION FUND: STATE GRANTS

State apportionment: \$558

STATE CONSERVATION GRANTS

None

MARYLAND

Park Operational Base Summary: The table below shows the annual park operating base for all park units within this state. Park operational base funds are supplemented by as yet undetermined amounts of project funding from regional or servicewide-managed programs, such as cyclic maintenance, the Natural Resources Preservation Program, and the Drug Enforcement Program.

If a park unit is in more than one state, then the park unit is included in each of the appropriate state tables. The full operating base is shown; no attempt has been made to split the park operating base amount between two or more states.

Congress'l District Park Units	(dollars in thousands)				
	FY 2004 Enacted	FY 2005 Estimate	FY 2006 Uncontrol Changes	FY 2006 Program Changes	FY 2006 Request
06 Antietam NB	2,803	2,932	80	0	3,012
00 Appalachian NST	1,024	1,054	18	0	1,072
FY 2005 Visitor Service Increase ¹	0	83	0	0	83
Total Appalachian NST	[1,024]	[1,137]	[18]	[0]	[1,155]
01 Assateague Island NS	3,385	3,815	73	0	3,888
05 Baltimore-Washington Parkway	1,273	1,307	23	0	1,330
06 Catoctin Mountain Park	2,331	2,376	56	0	2,432
FY 2005 Visitor Service Increase ¹	0	196	0	0	196
Total Catoctin Mountain Park	[2,331]	[2,572]	[56]	[0]	[2,628]
01 Cedar Creek and Belle Grove NHP	223	278	2	0	280
06,08 Chesapeake & Ohio Canal NHP	8,386	8,686	181	0	8,867
FY 2005 Visitor Service Increase ¹	0	204	0	0	204
Total Chesapeake & Ohio Canal NHP	[8,386]	[8,890]	[181]	[0]	[9,071]
08 Clara Barton NHS	493	506	0	0	506
03 Fort McHenry NM & Historic Shrine	1,710	1,751	54	0	1,805
04 Fort Washington Park	876	899	24	0	923
08 George Washington Mem Parkway	10,095	10,339	208	0	10,547
05 Greenbelt Park	873	895	21	0	916
02 Hampton NHS	956	980	0	0	980
06 Harpers Ferry NHP	5,737	5,866	141	0	6,007
06 Monocacy NB	998	1,049	28	0	1,077
00 National Capital Parks-East	9,111	9,306	181	0	9,487
05 Piscataway Park	480	493	2	0	495
00 Potomac Heritage NST	216	221	2	0	223
FY 2005 Visitor Service Increase ¹	0	29	0	0	29
Total Potomac Heritage NST	[216]	[250]	[2]	[0]	[252]
00 Rock Creek Park	6,259	6,490	113	0	6,603
FY 2005 Visitor Service Increase ¹	0	173	0	0	173
Total Rock Creek Park	[6,259]	[6,663]	[113]	[0]	[6,776]
05 Thomas Stone NHS	591	605	0	0	605

All FY 2006 increases consist of uncontrollable funding related to pay and benefits. Fleet and management efficiency savings have yet to be distributed at the park level.

This table does not include funding for Trails and Other Affiliated Areas that are not park units, nor programs from other appropriations such as General Management Plans, Land Acquisition, Line Item Construction, Federal Lands Highway Program, and Historic Preservation Fund State Grants. Information on the distribution of funds to these entities and programs is outlined on the following pages. There are separate sections on General Management Plans and the Trails Management Program.

¹ These funds are part of a total \$12.478 million distributed to 67 parks, 10 trails, 3 affiliated areas, and servicewide trail GIS support that was provided in FY 2005 to bolster visitor services. These funds are not considered a permanent addition to any of the parks' operational base funding. The continuation of these funds beyond FY 2005 is contingent upon a review of park base operations at all parks prior to distribution of the enacted FY 2006 appropriation. Should this examination determine that the funds could be more efficiently utilized to provide services at other parks, the funds will be moved (subject to reprogramming guidelines).

MARYLAND

FY 2006 Programmatic Park Base Increases

NONE

MARYLAND

Trails and Other Affiliated Areas Operational Base Summary: The table below shows the annual operating base for all Trails and Other Field Offices and Affiliated Areas that are not park units, within this state.

If a trail or affiliated area is in more than one state, it is included in each of the appropriate state tables. The full operational base is shown; no attempt has been made to split the operational base between two or more states.

	(dollars in thousands)				
	FY 2004 Enacted	FY 2005 Estimate	FY 2006 Uncontrol Changes	FY 2006 Program Changes	FY 2006 Request
Trails and Affiliated Areas					
Accokeek Foundation	589	580	0	0	580
FY 2005 Visitor Services Increase ¹	0	208	0	0	208
Total Accokeek Foundation	[589]	[788]	[0]	[0]	[788]
Alice Ferguson Foundation	198	203	0	0	203
Chesapeake Bay Project Office	440	451	11	0	462

FY 2006 fleet and management efficiency savings have yet to be distributed at the entity level.

This table does not include funding for programs from other appropriations such as General Management Plans, Land Acquisition, Line Item Construction, Federal Lands Highway Program, and Historic Preservation Fund State Grants. Information on the distribution of funds in these programs is outlined on the following pages. There are separate sections on General Management Plans and the Trails Management Program.

¹These funds are part of a total \$12.478 million distributed to 67 parks, 10 trails, 3 affiliated areas, and servicewide trail GIS support that was provided in FY 2005 to bolster visitor services. These funds are not considered a permanent addition to any of the parks' operational base funding. The continuation of these funds beyond FY 2005 is contingent upon a review of park base operations at all parks prior to distribution of the enacted FY 2006 appropriation. Should this examination determine that the funds could be more efficiently utilized to provide services at other parks, the funds will be moved (subject to reprogramming guidelines).

MARYLAND (NCR)
FY 2006 Proposed Program
(dollars in thousands)

PROGRAMS AND PROJECTS FUNDED OUTSIDE OF THE OPERATING ACCOUNT:

GENERAL MANAGEMENT PLANS (See GMP section for further information)

<u>Park Area</u>	<u>Type of Project</u>
Catoctin Mountain NP	Ongoing Project
Chesapeake & Ohio Canal NHP	Ongoing Project
Monocacy NB	Ongoing Project
Potomac Heritage NST	Potential New Start

SPECIAL STUDIES (See GMP section for further information)

<u>Study Area</u>	<u>Type of Project</u>
Catoctin Mountain Park, Deer Management Impact	Ongoing Study

LAND ACQUISITION

None

CONSTRUCTION: LINE ITEM CONSTRUCTION (see attached)

<u>Park Area</u>	<u>Project Title</u>	<u>Funds</u>
Chesapeake and Ohio Canal NHP	Repair/Rehabilitate Great Falls Visitor Center and Facilities	\$1,847
Fort Washington Park	Stabilize Fort Washington	\$2,876

HISTORIC PRESERVATION FUND: STATE GRANTS

State apportionment: \$608

STATE CONSERVATION GRANTS

None

MARYLAND (NER)
FY 2006 Proposed Program
(dollars in thousands)

PROGRAMS AND PROJECTS FUNDED OUTSIDE OF THE OPERATING ACCOUNT:

GENERAL MANAGEMENT PLANS (See GMP section for further information)

<u>Park Area</u>	<u>Type of Project</u>
Hampton NHS	Ongoing Project

SPECIAL STUDIES (See GMP section for further information)

<u>Study Area</u>	<u>Type of Project</u>
Assateague Island NS Personal	
Watercraft Management	Ongoing Study
Harriet Tubman Sites	Ongoing Study

LAND ACQUISITION

None

CONSTRUCTION: LINE ITEM CONSTRUCTION

None

HISTORIC PRESERVATION FUND: STATE GRANTS

State apportionment: \$608

STATE CONSERVATION GRANTS

None

**National Park Service
PROJECT DATA SHEET**

Project Score/Ranking:	559
Planned Funding FY:	2006
Funding Source:	Line Item Construction

Project Identification

Project Title: Repair/Rehabilitate Great Falls Visitor Center and Facilities		
Project No: 014930	Unit Name: Chesapeake and Ohio Canal National Historical Park	
Region: National Capital	Congressional District: 08	State: Maryland

Project Justification

FCI-Before: 0.07		FCI-Projected: 0.00	API: 28								
<p>Project Description: This project will rehabilitate facilities to ensure structure meets ADA requirements for employees and visitors. Upgrade HVAC system to address severe moisture problems that are leading to major destruction of significant cultural resources. Upgrade electrical system to eliminate identified electrical system hazards and electrical code violations. Complete essential preservation maintenance on structure to correct damage caused by excessive moisture problems and eliminates safety and health violations and concerns. Flood proof first floor visitor use areas with sustainable methods and relocate and upgrade visitor restroom facilities by constructing a new comfort station. Restore surrounding landscape to evoke historical perspective.</p>											
<p>Project Need/Benefit: The Great Falls Tavern area is the most visited site in the park with 1.2 million visitors annually. The structure retains most of its historic fabric and character which provides an excellent opportunity to provide educational and interpretive programs on canal life and canal boat operations in a compact setting. Ninety percent of existing rehabilitation conditions in the structure is related to water/moisture problems. If the problems are not corrected, the historical resources will continue to degrade and will ultimately be lost. Water/moisture damage and the potential for health and safety matters associated with mold, exposure to lead paint peelings, and excessive moisture will escalate. The existing comfort station is functionally obsolete and needs to be replaced with an accessible new facility.</p>											
<p>Ranking Categories: Identify the percent of the project that is in the following categories of need.</p> <table><tr><td>17 % Critical Health or Safety Deferred</td><td>14 % Critical Mission Deferred Maintenance</td></tr><tr><td>8 % Critical Health or Safety Capital Improvement</td><td>25 % Compliance & Other Deferred Maintenance</td></tr><tr><td>25 % Critical Resource Protection Deferred Maintenance</td><td>11 % Other Capital Improvement</td></tr><tr><td>0 % Critical Resource Protection Capital Improvement</td><td></td></tr></table>				17 % Critical Health or Safety Deferred	14 % Critical Mission Deferred Maintenance	8 % Critical Health or Safety Capital Improvement	25 % Compliance & Other Deferred Maintenance	25 % Critical Resource Protection Deferred Maintenance	11 % Other Capital Improvement	0 % Critical Resource Protection Capital Improvement	
17 % Critical Health or Safety Deferred	14 % Critical Mission Deferred Maintenance										
8 % Critical Health or Safety Capital Improvement	25 % Compliance & Other Deferred Maintenance										
25 % Critical Resource Protection Deferred Maintenance	11 % Other Capital Improvement										
0 % Critical Resource Protection Capital Improvement											
Capital Asset Planning 300B Analysis Required: YES: NO: x			Total Project Score: 559								

Project Costs and Status

Project Cost	\$'s	%	Project Funding History:	
Estimate:			Appropriated to Date:	\$ 0
Deferred Maintenance	\$1,496,100	81	Requested in FY 2006 Budget:	\$ 1,847,000
Work :			Required to Complete Project:	\$ 0
Capital Improvement	\$350,900	19	Project Total:	\$ 1,847,000
Work:				
Total Project Estimate:	\$1,847.00	100		
Class of Estimate:	B		Project Data Sheet	Unchanged Since
Estimate Good Until:	09/30/06		Prepared/Last Updated: 1/28/2005	Departmental
Dates:	Sch'd (qtr/fy)			Approval: YES: NO: x
Construction Start/Award	1 / 2006			
Project Complete:	4 / 2006			

Annual Operations Costs

Current:	Projected:	Change: \$
\$ 67,867	\$ 67,867	0

National Park Service
PROJECT DATA SHEET

Project Score/Ranking:	620
Planned Funding FY:	2006
Funding Source:	Line Item Construction

Project Identification

Project Title: Stabilize Fort Washington (Completion)		
Project No: 021174		Unit/Facility Name: Fort Washington Park
Region: National Capital	Congressional District: 04	State: Maryland

Project Justification

FCI-Before: 0.01	FCI-Projected: 0.01	API: 30								
<p>Project Description: Funding proposed for FY2006 will complete the stabilization of Fort Washington – a circa 1824 masonry coastal fortification with 40- to 60-foot-high walls that enclose a three-acre parade ground and several buildings. The stabilization will be implemented under a three-phase project to urgently address and arrest those problems currently contributing to the short and long-term deterioration of this historic resource. The main thrust of this project is to prevent and control the penetration, saturation and damage caused by water through repairs to the drainage systems and repairs to the most significantly destabilized and cracked brick walls. In addition, all excessive vegetation growth will be eradicated and root-damaged brickwork repaired. Phase 1 will address and correct problems currently affecting the stability of the fort's walls and proper functioning of the fort's supporting drainage systems; phases 2 and 3 will address and correct those problems currently affecting the stability of supporting earthen terraces, slopes, structural buildings and features.</p> <p>Vegetation destroying the structure and currently affecting the successful implementation of work in both phases will also be removed. The primary work will include the inspection, evaluation and assessment of approximately 72,000 square feet of brickwork, 6,000 square feet of embankment and 53,211 square feet of grade drainage on the Parade Grounds. Furthermore, the interior and exterior of the Soldier's Barracks will be stabilized and the drainage for the Main Gate will be repaired. This project will include the replacement of all Portland cement mortar with lime mortar; stabilization of earthen embankments supporting the foundations, and repairs to the Soldier's Barracks.</p>										
<p>Project Need/Benefit: Historic Fort Washington Park was built 1814-24 and was used as an active military post through WWII. This fort is the best example of nineteenth century American Coastal Fortification remaining in the U.S. It is the only masonry fort built prior to the Civil War for the protection of the Nation's Capital. More than 265,000 visitors came to the park in 1997. Engineering reports, architectural evaluations and soil analysis reveal severe undermining of the walls and foundation due to the non-existent drainage system, the continual water penetration and pressure under the walls coupled with the extremely high volume and speed of water cascading along the walls and down the embankment further exacerbating the escalating erosion and structural failure. If these emergency corrective measures are not undertaken, a large and very visible portion of this fort will be lost and an even greater portion of the adjoining structures will be de-stabilized.</p>										
<p>Ranking Categories: Identify the percent of the project that is in the following categories of need.</p> <table><tr><td>20 % Critical Health or Safety Deferred Maintenance</td><td>30 % Critical Mission Deferred Maintenance</td></tr><tr><td>0 % Critical Health or Safety Capital Improvement</td><td>0 % Compliance & Other Deferred Maintenance</td></tr><tr><td>0 % Critical Resource Protection Deferred Maintenance</td><td>0 % Other Capital Improvement</td></tr><tr><td>50 % Critical Resource Protection Capital Improvement</td><td></td></tr></table>			20 % Critical Health or Safety Deferred Maintenance	30 % Critical Mission Deferred Maintenance	0 % Critical Health or Safety Capital Improvement	0 % Compliance & Other Deferred Maintenance	0 % Critical Resource Protection Deferred Maintenance	0 % Other Capital Improvement	50 % Critical Resource Protection Capital Improvement	
20 % Critical Health or Safety Deferred Maintenance	30 % Critical Mission Deferred Maintenance									
0 % Critical Health or Safety Capital Improvement	0 % Compliance & Other Deferred Maintenance									
0 % Critical Resource Protection Deferred Maintenance	0 % Other Capital Improvement									
50 % Critical Resource Protection Capital Improvement										

Capital Asset Planning 300B Analysis Required: YES: ☒ NO:

Total Project Score: 620

Project Costs and Status

Project Cost		\$'s	%	Project Funding History:	
Estimate:					
Deferred Maintenance		\$4,587,500	50	Appropriated to Date:	\$ 6,299,000
Work :					
Capital Improvement		\$4,587,500	50	Requested in FY 2006 Budget:	\$ 2,876,000
Work:					
Total Project Estimate:		\$9,175,000	100	Required to Complete Project:	\$ 0
Class of Estimate:		B		Project Total:	\$ 9,175,000
Estimate Good Until:		09/30/06			
Dates:		Sch'd (qtr/fy)		Project Data Sheet	
Construction Start/Award		1/2006		Prepared/Last Updated: 1/20/2005	
Project Complete:		4/2006		Unchanged Since Departmental Approval:	
				YES: NO: X	

Annual Operations Costs

Current:	Projected:	Net Change:
\$ 1,320,000	\$ 1,360,000	\$ 40,000

MASSACHUSETTS

Park Operational Base Summary: The table below shows the annual park operating base for all park units within this state. Park operational base funds are supplemented by as yet undetermined amounts of project funding from regional or servicewide-managed programs, such as cyclic maintenance, the Natural Resources Preservation Program, and the Drug Enforcement Program.

If a park unit is in more than one state, then the park unit is included in each of the appropriate state tables. The full operating base is shown; no attempt has been made to split the park operating base amount between two or more states.

		(dollars in thousands)				
Congress'l District	Park Units	FY 2004	FY 2005	FY 2006	FY 2006	FY 2006 Request
		Enacted	Estimate	Uncontrol Changes	Program Changes	
	10 Adams NHP	2,494	2,764	54	0	2,818
	00 Appalachian NST	1,024	1,054	18	0	1,072
	FY 2005 Visitor Service Increase ¹	0	83	0	0	83
	Total Appalachian NST	[1,024]	[1,137]	[18]	[0]	[1,155]
	09 Boston African American NHS	703	721	18	0	739
	10 Boston Harbor Islands NRA	815	835	28	0	863
	FY 2005 Visitor Service Increase ¹	0	74	0	0	74
	Total Boston Harbor Islands NRA	[815]	[909]	[28]	[0]	[937]
	08 Boston NHP	7,846	8,527	172	0	8,699
	10 Cape Cod NS	5,970	6,113	118	0	6,231
	FY 2005 Visitor Service Increase ¹	0	148	0	0	148
	Total Cape Cod NS	[5,970]	[6,261]	[118]	[0]	[6,379]
	04 Frederick Law Olmsted NHS	2,101	2,150	67	0	2,217
	04 John F Kennedy NHS	302	310	0	0	310
	08 Longfellow NHS	776	797	0	0	797
	FY 2005 Visitor Service Increase ¹	0	74	0	0	74
	Total Longfellow NHS	[776]	[871]	[0]	[0]	[871]
	05 Lowell NHP	8,503	9,270	127	0	9,397
	05,07 Minute Man NHP	2,057	2,108	51	0	2,159
	FY 2005 Visitor Service Increase ¹	0	138	0	0	138
	Total Minute Man NHP	[2,057]	[2,246]	[51]	[0]	[2,297]
	04 New Bedford Whaling NHP	625	714	17	0	731
	06 Salem Maritime NHS	1,860	1,904	63	0	1,967
	06 Saugus Iron Works NHS	835	856	0	0	856
	02 Springfield Armory NHS	1,036	1,061	46	0	1,107

All FY 2006 increases consist of uncontrollable funding related to pay and benefits. Fleet and management efficiency savings have yet to be distributed at the park level.

This table does not include funding for Trails and Other Affiliated Areas that are not park units, nor programs from other appropriations such as General Management Plans, Land Acquisition, Line Item Construction, Federal Lands Highway Program, and Historic Preservation Fund State Grants. Information on the distribution of funds to these entities and programs is outlined on the following pages. There are separate sections on General Management Plans and the Trails Management Program.

¹ These funds are part of a total \$12.478 million distributed to 67 parks, 10 trails, 3 affiliated areas, and servicewide trail GIS support that was provided in FY 2005 to bolster visitor services. These funds are not considered a permanent addition to any of the parks' operational base funding. The continuation of these funds beyond FY 2005 is contingent upon a review of park base operations at all parks prior to distribution of the enacted FY 2006 appropriation. Should this examination determine that the funds could be more efficiently utilized to provide services at other parks, the funds will be moved (subject to reprogramming guidelines).

MASSACHUSETTS

FY 2006 Programmatic Park Base Increases

NONE

MASSACHUSETTS

Trails and Other Affiliated Areas Operational Base Summary: The table below shows the annual operating base for all Trails and Other Field Offices and Affiliated Areas that are not park units, within this state.

If a trail or affiliated area is in more than one state, it is included in each of the appropriate state tables. The full operational base is shown; no attempt has been made to split the operational base between two or more states.

	(dollars in thousands)				
	FY 2004 Enacted	FY 2005 Estimate	FY 2006 Uncontrol Changes	FY 2006 Program Changes	FY 2006 Request
Trails and Affiliated Areas					
John H. Chafee Blackstone River Valley Technical Assistance	314	320	2	0	322

FY 2006 fleet and management efficiency savings have yet to be distributed at the entity level.

This table does not include funding for programs from other appropriations such as General Management Plans, Land Acquisition, Line Item Construction, Federal Lands Highway Program, and Historic Preservation Fund State Grants. Information on the distribution of funds in these programs is outlined on the following pages. There are separate sections on General Management Plans and the Trails Management Program.

MASSACHUSETTS (NER)
FY 2006 Proposed Program
(dollars in thousands)

PROGRAMS AND PROJECTS FUNDED OUTSIDE OF THE OPERATING ACCOUNT:

GENERAL MANAGEMENT PLANS (See GMP section for further information)

Boston African American NHS	Ongoing Project
Boston Harbor Islands NRA	Ongoing Project
Frederick Law Olmstead NHS	Potential New Start
JFK NHS	Potential New Start
Minute Man NHP	Ongoing Project
Springfield Armory NHS	Potential New Start

SPECIAL STUDIES (See GMP section for further information)

Cape Cod NS, Hunting EIS	Ongoing Project
--------------------------	-----------------

LAND ACQUISITION

None

CONSTRUCTION: LINE ITEM CONSTRUCTION (see attached)

<u>Park Area</u>	<u>Project Title</u>	<u>Funds</u>
	Construct Floating Docks to Provide Safe Access to	
Boston Harbor Islands NRA	Little Brewster Island	\$832
Boston NHP	Rehabilitate Building 5	\$3,082
Saugus Iron Works NHS	Restore Saugus River Turning Basin and Dock	\$3,078
Saugus Iron Works NHS	Rehabilitate Resources for Accessibility and Safety	\$1,334

HISTORIC PRESERVATION FUND: STATE GRANTS

State apportionment: \$676

STATE CONSERVATION GRANTS

None

**National Park Service
PROJECT DATA SHEET**

Project Score/Ranking:	340
Planned Funding FY:	2006
Funding Source:	Line Item Construction

Project Identification

Project Title: Construct Floating Docks to Provide Safe Access to Little Brewster Island
Project No: 016328 Unit/Facility Name: Boston Harbor Islands National Recreation Area
Region: Northeast Congressional District: 07,08,09,10 State: Massachusetts

Project Justification

FCI-Before: 0.00		FCI-Projected: 0.00		API: 27	
<p>Project Description: This project will allow safe access to Little Brewster Island for visitors. The current facility is comprised of a fixed granite block wharf with a fendering system and ladder leading to the water. Due to a tide range of approximately 10 feet, visitors accessing the island by boat must climb up a steel ladder of approximately 20 feet to gain access to a wharf and the island. This limits the number of visitors to the island, the time of day that access can be gained (due to the tidal fluctuations) and the ability to allow access by handicapped persons. This project will eliminate these hardships by constructing a fixed pile support system adjacent to the wharf and installing a floating pier, a lifting platform, and winches for vessels to moor and off-load passengers. A ramped personnel gangway, a power-assisted handicapped gangway, and raised platform will be installed from the floating dock system to the wharf for visitors to transit from the floating docks to the top of the wharf. A raised platform will be installed on the existing South Pier to keep the top of the gangway out of the water.</p>					
<p>Project Need/Benefit: Little Brewster Island is home to Boston Light, site of the first lighthouse constructed in America (1716). The island lies at the entrance to Boston Harbor and is only accessible by boat. The approximately one acre island contains the existing lighthouse, constructed in 1783 (the former light was burned down by the British as they evacuated Boston during the Revolutionary War), keepers quarters constructed in 1884, oil house, cistern building and boathouse. Boston Light is a National Historic Landmark, and Little Brewster Island is listed on the on the National Register of Historic Places. With the creation of the Boston Harbor Islands National Recreation Area, Little Brewster Island and the facilities on the island will be available for public visitation and cultural interpretation. The site offers a wealth of historic significance in several areas including development of lighthouse technology, early colonial transportation and the development of Boston as a major seaport.</p>					
<p>Existing access to the island is unacceptable for safe transit and off loading/on loading of visitors. Improvements to allow more visitors to the island will create a much-improved Park and allow more in-depth enjoyment of the history associated with the harbor islands.</p>					
<p>Ranking Categories: Identify the percent of the project that is in the following categories of need.</p>					
0 % Critical Health or Safety Deferred Maintenance		0 % Critical Mission Deferred Maintenance			
30 % Critical Health or Safety Capital Improvement		0 % Compliance & Other Deferred Maintenance			
0 % Critical Resource Protection Deferred Maintenance		70 % Other Capital Improvement			
0 % Critical Resource Protection Capital Improvement					
Capital Asset Planning 300B Analysis Required: YES: NO: x				Total Project Score: 340	

Project Costs and Status

Project Cost Estimate:	\$'s	%	Project Funding History:
Deferred Maintenance Work :	\$0	0	Appropriated to Date: \$ 0
Capital Improvement Work:	\$832,000	100	Requested in FY 2006 Budget: \$ 832,000
Total Project Estimate:	\$832,000	100	Required to Complete Project: \$ 0
Class of Estimate: B			Project Total: \$ 832,000
Estimate Good Until: 09/30/06			
Dates: Sch'd (qtr/ty)			Project Data Sheet
Construction Start/Award 1 / 2006			Prepared/Last Updated: 1/19/2005
Project Complete: 4 / 2006			Unchanged Since Departmental Approval: YES: NO: x

Annual Operations Costs

Current:	Projected:	Net Change: \$
\$ 0	\$ 200	200

**National Park Service
PROJECT DATA SHEET**

Project Score/Ranking:	542
Planned Funding FY:	2006
Funding Source:	Line Item Construction

Project Identification

Project Title: Rehabilitate Building 5		
Project No: 016285	Unit/Facility Name: Boston National Historical Park	
Region: Northeast	Congressional District: 08	State: MA

Project Justification

FCI-Before: 0.41	FCI-Projected: 0.24	API: 34
--------------------------------	-----------------------------------	-----------------------

Project Description: Building 5, built between 1813 and 1816 as a three-story brick supply building in the Charlestown Navy Yard, shares a common wall with Building 4 and functions as one structure with a total of 39,000 square feet of usable space. The buildings will receive exterior preservation treatment and the first floor space will be rehabilitated for a visitor center at the Charlestown Navy Yard. Exterior work required includes exterior masonry repointing, painting, and repair/replacement of selected wood windows. The rehabilitation of the interior will make the first floor accessible; upgrade the HVAC electrical, plumbing and fire alarm systems; and create a new visitor center with typical NPS services including restrooms, visitor contact and sales, interpretive media, and multi-purpose AV room.

Project Need/Benefit: The existing Charlestown Navy Yard Visitor Center is in a leased space under a "tenancy-at-will" agreement with the U.S. Navy. The lease will be terminated within the next three years leaving the park with no visitor center for the 1.5 visitors to the navy yard. This project will move the existing Visitor Center from leased space to Building 5, one of the oldest and most prominent structures in the Charlestown Navy Yard, located directly in front of the USS Constitution. The park hosts over 500 special events, meetings and functions, serving more than 68,000 people. Consistent with the park's GMP, Building 5 is the ideal location for the visitor center given the prominent location of the building and the proximity to the USS Constitution. This project will consolidate three visitor facilities into one, providing substantial operating efficiency for the park. Crewmembers assigned to the USS Constitution are housed on the third floor of Building 5. The enabling legislation for Boston NHP requires that NPS support the needs of the US Navy in their operation of the USS Constitution. This building is the only navy yard structure that meets this need given the space requirements and its immediate proximity to the historic ship. This project will upgrade an historic structure from fair to good condition.

Ranking Categories: Identify the percent of the project that is in the following categories of need.

20 % Critical Health or Safety Deferred	0 % Critical Mission Deferred Maintenance
0 % Critical Health or Safety Capital Improvement	5 % Compliance & Other Deferred Maintenance
42 % Critical Resource Protection Deferred Maintenance	33 % Other Capital Improvement
0 % Critical Resource Protection Capital Improvement	

Capital Asset Planning 300B Analysis Required: YES: NO: x **Total Project Score:** **542**

Project Costs and Status

<u>Project Cost</u>			<u>Project Funding History:</u>		
\$'s	%				
Estimate:			Appropriated to Date:	\$	0
Deferred Maintenance	\$2,065,000	67	Requested in FY 2006 Budget:	\$	3,082,000
Work :			Planned Funding:	\$	0
Capital Improvement	\$1,017,000	33	Future Funding to		
Work:			Complete Project:	\$	0
Total Project Estimate:	\$3,082,000	100	Project Total:	\$	3,082,000
Class of Estimate:	A				
Estimate Good Until:	09/30/06				
<u>Dates:</u>			<u>Project Data Sheet</u>		<u>Unchanged Since</u>
<u>Sch'd (qtr/fy)</u>					
Construction Start/Award	1 / 2006		Prepared/Last Updated: 1/19/2005		Departmental
Project Complete:	4 / 2007				Approval: YES:
					NO: x

Annual Operations Costs

Current:	Projected:	Change: (\$)
\$ 182,527	\$ 131,355	51,172)

National Park Service
PROJECT DATA SHEET

Project Score/Ranking:	810
Planned Funding FY:	2006
Funding Source:	Line Item Construction

Project Identification

Project Title: Restore Saugus River Turning Basin and Dock		
Project No: 060214	Unit/Facility Name: Saugus Iron Works National Historic Site	
Region: Northeast	Congressional District: 6	State: MA

Project Justification

FCI-Before: 1.00	FCI-Projected: 0.00	API: 33
<p>Project Description: Restore an open-water condition to the head of the Saugus River tidal basin at the park by dredging up to 4 acres to remove dense stands of invasive exotic vegetation and contaminated marsh sediments, which have severely degraded both the cultural landscape and the natural environment of the park. Rehabilitate the unsafe, silted-in and severely deteriorated wooden wharf and bulkhead to restore structural integrity and function to this major historic feature (LCS number 40302).</p> <p>Project Need/Benefit: The General Management Plan (GMP) recommends this project as fundamental to park cultural and natural stewardship. The Saugus River basin in the park became silted in after a dam breach, north of the park, in 1957; and the river has since been choked by massive growth of phragmites (a tall, perennial grass capable of forming large stands) and other exotic plants in the park, as well as by contaminants from a former factory upstream. The restoration and clean-up of the tidal basin will eliminate threats to human health and safety and will improve natural habitats. Restoration of the historic waterfront/tidal basin is essential to visitor understanding of why the iron works was located in this place, for the transport of raw materials and the shipping of finished iron goods to local and international markets. The rehabilitation of the small historic wharf and the cultural and natural landscape would restore essential historical context.</p>		
<p>Ranking Categories: Identify the percent of the project that is in the following categories of need.</p> <p>70 % Critical Health or Safety Deferred Maintenance 0 % Critical Mission Deferred Maintenance</p> <p>0 % Critical Health or Safety Capital Improvement 10 % Compliance & Other Deferred Maintenance</p> <p>20 % Critical Resource Protection Deferred Maintenance 0 % Other Capital Improvement</p> <p>0 % Critical Resource Protection Capital Improvement</p>		
Capital Asset Planning 300B Analysis Required: YES: NO: X		Total Project Score: 810

Project Costs and Status

Project Cost	\$'s	%	Project Funding History:	
Estimate:			Appropriated to Date:	\$ 0
Deferred Maintenance	\$3,078,000	100	Requested in FY 2006 Budget:	\$ 3,078,000
Work :			Required to Complete Project:	\$ 0
Capital Improvement	\$0	0	Project Total:	\$ 3,078,000
Work:				
Total Component	\$3,078,000	100		
Estimate:				
Class of Estimate:	B			
Estimate Good Until:	09/30/06			
Dates:	Sch'd (qtr/fy)		Project Data Sheet	Unchanged Since
Construction Start/Award	2/2006		Prepared/Last Updated: 1/19/2005	Departmental Approval:
Project Complete:	4/2006			YES: NO: X

Annual Operations Costs

Current:	Projected:	Net Change: \$
\$ 15,000	\$ 5,000	(10,000)

**National Park Service
PROJECT DATA SHEET**

Project Score/Ranking:	710
Planned Funding FY:	2006
Funding Source:	Line Item Construction

Project Identification

Project Title: <u>Rehabilitate Resources for Accessibility and Safety</u>		
Project No: <u>060099</u>	Unit/Facility Name: <u>Saugus Iron Works National Historic Site</u>	
Region: <u>Northeast</u>	Congressional District: <u>06</u>	State: <u>MA</u>

Project Justification

FCI-Before: <u>0.32</u>	FCI-Projected: <u>0.07</u>	API: <u>28</u>
--------------------------------	-----------------------------------	-----------------------

Project Description: The purpose of this project at Saugus Iron Works is threefold:

- To upgrade visitor contact facilities by rehabilitating and restoring portions of the historic (c. 1680) Iron Works House currently used for offices and storage for use as an accessible visitor interpretive and orientation space, including installation of new exhibits. The existing contact station -- which now blocks visitor views of the Iron Works industrial core -- will be removed.
- To provide safe universal access to the structures and landscape, while preserving the cultural landscape and protecting archeological resources, by modifying the pathway between the Iron Works House and the industrial area (a 20-foot difference in grade) and installing a ramp and a mechanical stair lift. Safe access will be provided within the industrial area by re-grading, modifying the pathways system, and installing two additional mechanical stair lifts.
- To ensure protection of park museum collections by consolidating the majority of collections in proper environments located with the curatorial staff. Non-historic houses owned by the park will be remodeled into museum collections storage, curatorial workspace, and office space.

Project Need/Benefit: The 17th-century Iron Works House is currently not accessible to persons with disabilities; NPS offices and storage space currently occupy the sections of the house that could provide accessibility. These areas of the house also exhibit significant deterioration of historic fabric. Moving visitor orientation from the contact station (to be removed) into these sections will increase access to this primary resource for both persons with disabilities and the general public (only 8% can currently visit the house). The 20-foot drop between the area of the House and the area of the Iron Works industrial complex currently precludes handicap access to the Iron Works and creates safety hazards for all visitors. Grade changes within the Iron Works complex itself are also needed for accessibility; these changes can be sensitively done, without damaging the integrity of the cultural landscape.

Museum collection storage is scattered and vulnerable, and many items are deteriorating rapidly from lack of climate controls; converting a modern park-owned residence can provide space and controls. Office space for staff is severely limited. Offices and museum storage removed from the Iron Works House to make it accessible to the public can be moved into converted park residences. Cost of conversion of the park residences is approximately 10% of the cost of a new collections storage facility.

Ranking Categories: Identify the percent of the project that is in the following categories of need.

40 % Critical Health or Safety Deferred Maintenance	10 % Critical Mission Deferred Maintenance
0 % Critical Health or Safety Capital Improvement	20 % Compliance & Other Deferred Maintenance
30 % Critical Resource Protection Deferred Maintenance	0 % Other Capital Improvement
0 % Critical Resource Protection Capital Improvement	

Capital Asset Planning 300B Analysis Required: YES: NO: x **Total Project Score:** 710

Project Costs and Status

<u>Project Cost Estimate:</u>		\$'s	%	<u>Project Funding History:</u>	
Deferred Maintenance Work :		\$1,334,000	100	Appropriated to Date: \$ 0	
Capital Improvement Work:		\$0	0	Requested in FY 2006 Budget: \$ 1,334,000	
Total Project Estimate:		\$1,334,000	100	Required to Complete Project: \$ 0	
Class of Estimate: B				Project Total: \$ 1,334,000	
Estimate Good Until: 09/30/06					
Dates: Sch'd (qtr/fy)				Project Data Sheet	
Construction Start/Award 2 / 2006				Prepared/Last Updated: 1/19/2005	
Project Complete: 1 / 2007				Unchanged Since Departmental	
				Approval: YES: NO: x	

Annual Operations Costs

Current:	Projected:	Net Change:
\$ 80,950	\$ 86,430	\$ 5,480

NEW HAMPSHIRE

Park Operational Base Summary: The table below shows the annual park operating base for all park units within this state. Park operational base funds are supplemented by as yet undetermined amounts of project funding from regional or servicewide-managed programs, such as cyclic maintenance, the Natural Resources Preservation Program, and the Drug Enforcement Program.

If a park unit is in more than one state, then the park unit is included in each of the appropriate state tables. The full operating base is shown; no attempt has been made to split the park operating base amount between two or more states.

		(dollars in thousands)			
Congress'l District	Park Units	FY 2004	FY 2005	FY 2006	FY 2006
		Enacted	Estimate	Uncontrol Changes	Program Changes
	00 Appalachian NST	1,024	1,054	18	0
	FY 2005 Visitor Service Increase ¹	0	83	0	0
	Total Appalachian NST	[1,024]	[1,137]	[18]	[0]
	02 Saint-Gaudens NHS	965	988	18	0

All FY 2006 increases consist of uncontrollable funding related to pay and benefits. Fleet and management efficiency savings have yet to be distributed at the park level.

This table does not include funding for Trails and Other Affiliated Areas that are not park units, nor programs from other appropriations such as General Management Plans, Land Acquisition, Line Item Construction, Federal Lands Highway Program, and Historic Preservation Fund State Grants. Information on the distribution of funds to these entities and programs is outlined on the following pages. There are separate sections on General Management Plans and the Trails Management Program.

¹ These funds are part of a total \$12.478 million distributed to 67 parks, 10 trails, 3 affiliated areas, and servicewide trail GIS support that was provided in FY 2005 to bolster visitor services. These funds are not considered a permanent addition to any of the parks' operational base funding. The continuation of these funds beyond FY 2005 is contingent upon a review of park base operations at all parks prior to distribution of the enacted FY 2006 appropriation. Should this examination determine that the funds could be more efficiently utilized to provide services at other parks, the funds will be moved (subject to reprogramming guidelines).

NEW HAMPSHIRE

FY 2006 Programmatic Park Base Increases

NONE

NEW HAMPSHIRE (NER)
FY 2006 Proposed Program
(dollars in thousands)

PROGRAMS AND PROJECTS FUNDED OUTSIDE OF THE OPERATING ACCOUNT:

GENERAL MANAGEMENT PLANS (See GMP section for further information)
None

SPECIAL STUDIES (See GMP section for further information)
None

LAND ACQUISITION
None

CONSTRUCTION: LINE ITEM CONSTRUCTION
None

HISTORIC PRESERVATION FUND: STATE GRANTS
State apportionment: \$507

STATE CONSERVATION GRANTS
None

NEW JERSEY

Park Operational Base Summary: The table below shows the annual park operating base for all park units within this state. Park operational base funds are supplemented by as yet undetermined amounts of project funding from regional or servicewide-managed programs, such as cyclic maintenance, the Natural Resources Preservation Program, and the Drug Enforcement Program.

If a park unit is in more than one state, then the park unit is included in each of the appropriate state tables. The full operating base is shown; no attempt has been made to split the park operating base amount between two or more states.

Congress'l District Park Units	(dollars in thousands)				
	FY 2004 Enacted	FY 2005 Estimate	FY 2006 Uncontrol Changes	FY 2006 Program Changes	FY 2006 Request
00 Appalachian NST	1,024	1,054	18	0	1,072
FY 2005 Visitor Service Increase ¹	0	83	0	0	83
Total Appalachian NST	[1,024]	[1,137]	[18]	[0]	[1,155]
05 Delaware Water Gap NRA	8,097	8,312	200	0	8,512
08,10 Edison NHS	1,959	2,007	51	0	2,058
06 Gateway NRA	20,931	21,573	415	0	21,988
11 Morristown NHP	2,113	2,165	65	0	2,230
08 Statue of Liberty NM & Ellis Island	13,261	14,084	260	0	14,344

All FY 2006 increases consist of uncontrollable funding related to pay and benefits. Fleet and management efficiency savings have yet to be distributed at the park level.

This table does not include funding for Trails and Other Affiliated Areas that are not park units, nor programs from other appropriations such as General Management Plans, Land Acquisition, Line Item Construction, Federal Lands Highway Program, and Historic Preservation Fund State Grants. Information on the distribution of funds to these entities and programs is outlined on the following pages. There are separate sections on General Management Plans and the Trails Management Program.

¹ These funds are part of a total \$12.478 million distributed to 67 parks, 10 trails, 3 affiliated areas, and servicewide trail GIS support that was provided in FY 2005 to bolster visitor services. These funds are not considered a permanent addition to any of the parks' operational base funding. The continuation of these funds beyond FY 2005 is contingent upon a review of park base operations at all parks prior to distribution of the enacted FY 2006 appropriation. Should this examination determine that the funds could be more efficiently utilized to provide services at other parks, the funds will be moved (subject to reprogramming guidelines).

NEW JERSEY

FY 2006 Programmatic Park Base Increases

NONE

NEW JERSEY

Trails and Other Affiliated Areas Operational Base Summary: The table below shows the annual operating base for all Trails and Other Field Offices and Affiliated Areas that are not park units, within this state.

If a trail or affiliated area is in more than one state, it is included in each of the appropriate state tables. The full operational base is shown; no attempt has been made to split the operational base between two or more states.

	(dollars in thousands)				
	FY 2004 Enacted	FY 2005 Estimate	FY 2006 Uncontrol Changes	FY 2006 Program Changes	FY 2006 Request
Trails and Affiliated Areas					
National Parks of New York Harbor	538	552	15	0	567
Pinelands N Res & New Jersey Coastal Heritage Trail	515	529	7	0	536
FY 2005 Visitor Services Increase ¹	0	246	0	0	246
Total Pinelands N Res & New Jersey Coastal Heritage Trail	[515]	[775]	[7]	[0]	[782]

FY 2006 fleet and management efficiency savings have yet to be distributed at the entity level.

This table does not include funding for programs from other appropriations such as General Management Plans, Land Acquisition, Line Item Construction, Federal Lands Highway Program, and Historic Preservation Fund State Grants. Information on the distribution of funds in these programs is outlined on the following pages. There are separate sections on General Management Plans and the Trails Management Program.

¹These funds are part of a total \$12.478 million distributed to 67 parks, 10 trails, 3 affiliated areas, and servicewide trail GIS support that was provided in FY 2005 to bolster visitor services. These funds are not considered a permanent addition to any of the parks' operational base funding. The continuation of these funds beyond FY 2005 is contingent upon a review of park base operations at all parks prior to distribution of the enacted FY 2006 appropriation. Should this examination determine that the funds could be more efficiently utilized to provide services at other parks, the funds will be moved (subject to reprogramming guidelines).

NEW JERSEY (NER)
FY 2006 Proposed Program
(dollars in thousands)

PROGRAMS AND PROJECTS FUNDED OUTSIDE OF THE OPERATING ACCOUNT:

GENERAL MANAGEMENT PLANS (See GMP section for further information)
Statue of Liberty NM Ongoing Project

SPECIAL STUDIES (See GMP section for further information)

<u>Study Area</u>	<u>Type of Project</u>
Great Falls Historic District	Ongoing Study

LAND ACQUISITION
None

CONSTRUCTION: LINE ITEM CONSTRUCTION (see attached)		<u>Funds</u>
<u>Park Area</u>	<u>Project Title</u>	
Delaware Water Gap NRA	Replace Depew Recreation Site	\$2,871

HISTORIC PRESERVATION FUND: STATE GRANTS
State apportionment: \$680

STATE CONSERVATION GRANTS
None

**National Park Service
PROJECT DATA SHEET**

Project Score/Ranking:	585
Planned Funding FY:	2006
Funding Source:	Line Item Construction

Project Identification

Project Title: <u>Replace Depew Recreation Facilities at Coppermine Site</u>		
Project No: <u>029465</u>	Unit/Facility Name: <u>Delaware Water Gap National Recreation Area</u>	
Region: <u>Northeast</u>	Congressional District: <u>05</u>	State: <u>New Jersey</u>

Project Justification

FCI-Before: <u>NA</u>	FCI-Projected: <u>0.00</u>	API: <u>31</u>
------------------------------	-----------------------------------	-----------------------

Project Description: This package would complete the relocation of the existing recreational facilities and traditional visitor use from the Depew recreation site and replace them nearby at the Coppermine site. Improvements to the new site would include the realignment and paving of existing access roads; the excavation and grading of approximately 300 linear feet of river shoreline to create a swim beach; construction of a 100- to 125-vehicle parking lot, a picnic area with tables, a public restroom, a lifeguard station, an entrance station, and a fenced storage area; and re-vegetation of disturbed areas. This request will be supplemented by funding from the Federal Lands Highway Program (FLHP) for construction of access roads and parking.

Project Need/Benefit: Depew recreation site is one of the park's most popular areas, used by an estimated 600+ visitors per day on summer weekends. Depew has a history of recreational use dating back to at least the 1960s and a strong visitor following who have established traditions for use of the area including swimming. Depew became the de facto swim beach since there were no restrictions on swimming in the river and no designated swim beaches on the New Jersey side of the park. Visitor use has continued to increase leading to chronic overcrowding and blocked access for emergency vehicles that have become significant public safety concerns. Overcrowding has also lead to resource damage as visitors expanded into undeveloped and previously undisturbed areas, impacting formerly natural areas and threatening vegetated riverbanks, several populations of state-listed plant species, and prehistoric archeological resources. The Depew site had no potable water until very recently. There are no modern restroom facilities, only chemical portable toilets that produce many visitor complaints and contribute to litter and improper disposal of human waste in the woods and around the site.

In the aftermath of two drownings at the site in 1999, planning was initiated for improvements at Depew. Initial studies raised significant concerns about river currents and habitat for a state-listed endangered species at Depew, leading to a decision to replace the facilities nearby at a more suitable swim beach location at the Coppermine site. Relocation of the recreational facilities and use will eliminate or reduce the risks and impacts at the Depew site. The new Coppermine facilities are being planned to address the deficiencies at Depew. Physical delineation of trails, walkways, and public use areas will avoid the expansion of social trails into undisturbed areas. The availability of modern, adequately sized toilet facilities will eliminate human waste around the site, thereby avoiding health risks to visitors and employees. The parking area will be relatively compact and constructed on fill, protecting any archeological resources underneath the site.

The parking layout and circulation will be more efficient and convenient, and will accommodate more vehicle types. Consolidation of parking near the entrance will protect views from the river and will allow more space for passive recreation such as picnicking. Wayside signage will be used to educate visitors on environmentally responsible recreation.

Ranking Categories: Identify the percent of the project that is in the following categories of need.

<input type="checkbox"/> 0 % Critical Health or Safety Deferred Maintenance	<input type="checkbox"/> 25 % Critical Mission Deferred Maintenance
<input type="checkbox"/> 0 % Critical Health or Safety Capital Improvement	<input type="checkbox"/> 0 % Compliance & Other Deferred Maintenance
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 35 % Critical Resource Protection Deferred Maintenance	<input type="checkbox"/> 0 % Other Capital Improvement
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 40 % Critical Resource Protection Capital Improvement	

Capital Asset Planning 300B Analysis Required: YES: NO: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Total Project Score: 585
--	--

Project Costs and Status

Project Cost Estimate:			Project Funding History:		
	\$'s	%			
Deferred Maintenance Work :	\$1,666,500	50	Appropriated to Date:	\$ 462,000	
Capital Improvement Work:	\$1,666,500	50	Requested in FY 2006 Budget:	\$ 2,871,000	
Total Project Estimate:	\$3,333,000	100	Required to Complete Project:	\$ 0	
Class of Estimate: <u>B</u>			Project Total:	\$ 3,333,000	
Estimate Good Until: <u>09/30/06</u>					
Dates: <u>Sch'd (qtr/yy)</u>					
Construction Start/Award <u>2 / 2006</u>			Project Data Sheet		Unchanged Since
Project Complete: <u>2 / 2007</u>			Prepared/Last Updated: <u>1/19/2005</u>		Departmental
					Approval: YES: NO: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

Annual Operations Costs

Current:	Projected:	Change: \$
\$ 49,435	\$ 71,377	21,942

NEW YORK

Park Operational Base Summary: The table below shows the annual park operating base for all park units within this state. Park operational base funds are supplemented by as yet undetermined amounts of project funding from regional or servicewide-managed programs, such as cyclic maintenance, the Natural Resources Preservation Program, and the Drug Enforcement Program.

If a park unit is in more than one state, then the park unit is included in each of the appropriate state tables. The full operating base is shown; no attempt has been made to split the park operating base amount between two or more states.

		(dollars in thousands)				
Congress'l District	Park Units	FY 2004	FY 2005	FY 2006	FY 2006	FY 2006
		Enacted	Estimate	Uncontrol Changes	Program Changes	Request
	00 Appalachian NST	1,024	1,054	18	0	1,072
	FY 2005 Visitor Service Increase ¹	0	83	0	0	83
	Total Appalachian NST	[1,024]	[1,137]	[18]	[0]	[1,155]
	22 Eleanor Roosevelt NHS	580	595	0	0	595
01,02	Fire Island NS	3,475	3,890	73	0	3,963
	23 Fort Stanwix NM	1,275	1,307	13	0	1,320
09,13	Gateway NRA	20,931	21,573	415	0	21,988
	Governor's Island NM	1,081	1,109	9	0	1,118
	22 Home of Franklin D Roosevelt NHS	2,266	2,321	96	0	2,417
	FY 2005 Visitor Service Increase ¹	0	198	0	0	198
	Total Home of Franklin D Roosevelt NHS	[2,266]	[2,519]	[96]	[0]	[2,615]
08	Manhattan Sites (Hqtrs)	776	793	87	0	880
08	Castle Clinton NM	564	578	0	0	578
08	Federal Hall Natl Memorial	630	647	0	0	647
08	General Grant Natl Memorial	601	615	0	0	615
15	Hamilton Grange Natl Memorial	155	159	0	0	159
17	Saint Paul's Church NHS	285	293	0	0	293
14	Theodore Roosevelt Birthplace NHS	223	229	0	0	229
22	Martin Van Buren NHS	1,087	1,112	18	0	1,130
03	Sagamore Hill NHS	979	1,431	40	0	1,471
22	Saratoga NHP	1,610	1,648	22	0	1,670
08	Statue of Liberty NM & Ellis Island	13,261	14,084	260	0	14,344
29	Theodore Roosevelt Inaugural NHS	210	216	3	0	219
20	Upper Delaware Scenic & Rec River	2,696	2,744	60	0	2,804
	FY 2005 Visitor Service Increase ¹	0	92	0	0	92
	Total Upper Delaware Scenic & Rec River	[2,696]	[2,836]	[60]	[0]	[2,896]
22	Vanderbilt Mansion NHS	1,108	1,133	0	0	1,133
27	Women's Rights NHP	1,309	1,341	15	0	1,356

All FY 2006 increases consist of uncontrollable funding related to pay and benefits. Fleet and management efficiency savings have yet to be distributed at the park level.

This table does not include funding for Trails and Other Affiliated Areas that are not park units, nor programs from other appropriations such as General Management Plans, Land Acquisition, Line Item Construction, Federal Lands Highway Program, and Historic Preservation Fund State Grants. Information on the distribution of funds to these entities and programs is outlined on the following pages. There are separate sections on General Management Plans and the Trails Management Program.

¹ These funds are part of a total \$12.478 million distributed to 67 parks, 10 trails, 3 affiliated areas, and servicewide trail GIS support that was provided in FY 2005 to bolster visitor services. These funds are not considered a permanent addition to any of the parks' operational base funding. The continuation of these funds beyond FY 2005 is contingent upon a review of park base operations at all parks prior to distribution of the enacted FY 2006 appropriation. Should this examination determine that the funds could be more efficiently utilized to provide services at other parks, the funds will be moved (subject to reprogramming guidelines).

NEW YORK

FY 2006 Programmatic Park Base Increases

NONE

NEW YORK

Trails and Other Affiliated Areas Operational Base Summary: The table below shows the annual operating base for all Trails and Other Field Offices and Affiliated Areas that are not park units, within this state.

If a trail or affiliated area is in more than one state, it is included in each of the appropriate state tables. The full operational base is shown; no attempt has been made to split the operational base between two or more states.

	(dollars in thousands)				
	FY 2004 Enacted	FY 2005 Estimate	FY 2006 Uncontrol Changes	FY 2006 Program Changes	FY 2006 Request
Trails and Affiliated Areas					
Chesapeake Bay Project Office	440	451	11	0	462
Erie Canalway NHC	247	253	3	0	256
Lower Eastside Tenement Museum	98	101	2	0	103
North Country NST	596	611	0	0	611
FY 2005 Visitor Services Increase ¹	0	30	0	0	30
Total North Country NST	[596]	[641]	[0]	[0]	[641]
National Parks of New York Harbor	538	552	15	0	567

FY 2006 fleet and management efficiency savings have yet to be distributed at the entity level.

This table does not include funding for programs from other appropriations such as General Management Plans, Land Acquisition, Line Item Construction, Federal Lands Highway Program, and Historic Preservation Fund State Grants. Information on the distribution of funds in these programs is outlined on the following pages. There are separate sections on General Management Plans and the Trails Management Program.

¹These funds are part of a total \$12.478 million distributed to 67 parks, 10 trails, 3 affiliated areas, and servicewide trail GIS support that was provided in FY 2005 to bolster visitor services. These funds are not considered a permanent addition to any of the parks' operational base funding. The continuation of these funds beyond FY 2005 is contingent upon a review of park base operations at all parks prior to distribution of the enacted FY 2006 appropriation. Should this examination determine that the funds could be more efficiently utilized to provide services at other parks, the funds will be moved (subject to reprogramming guidelines).

NEW YORK (NER)
FY 2006 Proposed Program
(dollars in thousands)

PROGRAMS AND PROJECTS FUNDED OUTSIDE OF THE OPERATING ACCOUNT:

GENERAL MANAGEMENT PLANS (See GMP section for further information)

<u>Park Area</u>	<u>Type of Project</u>
Fire Island NS	Potential New Start
Fort Stanwix NM	Ongoing Project
Governors Island NM	Ongoing Project
Home of Franklin D. Roosevelt NHS	Ongoing Project
Lower East Side Tenement NHS	Ongoing Project
Martin Van Buren NHS	Potential New Start
Sagamore Hill NHS	Ongoing Project
Statue of Liberty NM	Ongoing Project
Vanderbilt Mansion NHS	Ongoing Project
Women's Rights NHP	Potential New Start

SPECIAL STUDIES (See GMP section for further information)

<u>Study Area</u>	<u>Type of Project</u>
Fire Island NS, Erosion	
Management/Beach Preservation	Ongoing Study
Fire Island NS Personal Watercraft	
Management	Ongoing Study
Gateway NRA Personal Watercraft	
Management	Ongoing Study
Harriet Tubman Sites	Ongoing Study
Kate Mullaney House	Ongoing Study
Niagara Falls Heritage	Ongoing Study

LAND ACQUISITION

None

CONSTRUCTION: LINE ITEM CONSTRUCTION (see attached)

<u>Park Area</u>	<u>Type of Project</u>	<u>Funds</u>
Fire Island NS	Replace West Entrance Ranger Station and Construct Restrooms	\$764
Statue of Liberty / Ellis Island NM	Complete Ellis Island Historic Seawall Rehabilitation	\$8,452

HISTORIC PRESERVATION FUND: STATE GRANTS

State apportionment: \$929

STATE CONSERVATION GRANTS

None

**National Park Service
PROJECT DATA SHEET**

Project Score/Ranking:	610
Planned Funding FY:	2006
Funding Source:	Line Item Construction

Project Identification

Project Title: Construct West Entrance Ranger Station and Restrooms		
Project No: 077475	Unit/Facility Name: Fire Island National Seashore	
Region: Northeast	Congressional District: 02	State: New York

Project Justification

FCI-Before: NA	FCI-Projected: 0.00	API: New
------------------------------	-----------------------------------	------------------------

Project Description: Construct a 1000-square-foot building to replace the west entrance station of Fire Island National Seashore. The building will serve as the west district ranger station, information center for visitors, and restroom for beach users. The previous kiosk at this location was removed because it was unsafe for employees or visitor use due to its construction and location. This new building will be located at the end of the state's paved road at the boundary between Robert Moses State Park and Fire Island NS. The building will be built as a model of sustainable design for barrier island using materials suitable for the beach environment and raised to a level that will prevent damage from periodic storm flooding. The change in the location of the building will allow better control over visitor access to the area. The new location and facilities will increase the ability of the park to monitor for endangered species protection, as well as archeological site and cultural resource protection of Lighthouse and annex buildings.

Project Need/Benefit: There is no NPS facility at the west entrance. Unauthorized vehicles can access off-road areas in the area between the entrance and the current office. More than 200,000 vehicles travel the road at the adjoining state park and these vehicles must be physically stopped from entering without a permit. The adjacent beach is heavily used but there is no restroom for more than one-half mile. Visitors are unaware they are entering Fire Island National Seashore and have no opportunity for information or assistance. Water and first aid will be made available. Current offices in historic Coast Guard station will be removed, allowing for restoration of the building and the original Voice of America transmitter inside. Better monitoring of the access point will decrease the amount of unauthorized vehicle uses that have had impacts on endangered species nesting areas in the past. This facility will also decrease the number of vehicles that drive to the Lighthouse and park.

Ranking Categories: Identify the percent of the project that is in the following categories of need.

0 % Critical Health or Safety Deferred Maintenance	40 % Critical Mission Deferred Maintenance
30 % Critical Health or Safety Capital Improvement	0 % Compliance & Other Deferred Maintenance
0 % Critical Resource Protection Deferred Maintenance	0 % Other Capital Improvement
30 % Critical Resource Protection Capital Improvement	

Capital Asset Planning 300B Analysis Required: YES: NO: x **Total Project Score:** **610**

Project Costs and Status

Project Cost		\$'s	%	Project Funding History:	
Estimate:					
Deferred Maintenance		\$306,000	40	Appropriated to Date:	\$ 0
Work :					
Capital Improvement		\$458,000	60	Requested in FY 2006 Budget:	\$ 764,000
Work:					
Total Project Estimate:		\$764,000	100	Required to Complete Project:	\$ 0
Class of Estimate:		B		Project Total:	\$ 764,000
Estimate Good Until:		09/30/06			
Dates:		Sch'd (qtr/fy)			
Construction Start/Award		1 / 2006		Project Data Sheet	Unchanged Since
Project Complete:		4 / 2006		Prepared/Last Updated: 1/19/2005	Departmental
					Approval: YES: NO:
					x

Annual Operations Costs

Current:	Projected:	Net
\$ 0	\$ 4,000	Change: \$
		4,000

**National Park Service
PROJECT DATA SHEET**

Project Score/Ranking:	850
Planned Funding FY:	2006
Funding Source:	Line Item Construction

Project Identification

Project Title: <u>Rehabilitate Ellis Island Historic Seawall</u>		
Project No: <u>016509</u>	Unit/Facility Name: <u>Statue of Liberty National Monument & Ellis Island</u>	
Region: <u>Northeast</u>	Congressional District: <u>NY 08, NJ 13</u>	State: <u>NY, NJ</u>

Project Justification

FCI-Before: <u>0.25</u>	FCI-Projected: <u>0.07</u>	API: <u>33</u>
--------------------------------	-----------------------------------	-----------------------

Project Description: The purpose of this project is to rehabilitate the historic seawall surrounding Ellis Island's 28-acre land mass. However, not all of the wall's long-term preservation needs can be addressed with the requested funding, so this project employs a combination of different treatment approaches to maximize cost effectiveness. The following scope of work is proposed throughout the seawall except for the west elevation where its protection from primary tidal action, ferry wakes and storm surges has resulted in a much better existing condition.

- Install a cofferdam around the seawall below high tide in order to perform seawall work "in the dry," aggregate clean existing masonry to ensure proper bonding of new work, reset missing or loose stone units and re-point wall, all with grout backfilling as work progresses. To optimize use of the cofferdam, all joints below high tide will be re-pointed; above high tide, only as required.
- Taking advantage of the cofferdam, patch cracks in concrete pilings.
- Install micro-piles adjacent to or through wall in areas of exposed deteriorated wood foundation.
- Install localized soil injection grouting at rear of wall to affect "seal" at areas of acute erosion.
- At areas of landside sinkholes, install geo-textile fabric and loose aggregate.
- During design development, further assessment of the micro-piles and soil ejection will refine construction materials, methods and quantities as well as the number of sinkholes to be in-filled.

Project Need/Benefit: The Ellis Island seawall is approximately 6,736 linear feet, built in phases using a variety of construction systems from 1913 to 1934. The seawall exhibits varying degrees of deterioration. With the wave-induced erosion of mortar joints, granite blocks have become dislodged and fallen into the harbor. Because of the voids from this missing mortar and stone, harbor water and landside runoff move back and forth through the wall causing further mortar loss, stone displacement, soil erosion and resultant sinkholes. These sinkholes are of particular concern underneath the heavy public-use sidewalks on Island 1 and in front of the Ferry Terminal Building. Cyclic dredging in the ferry slip has exposed sections of the wall's wooden piles and subjected them to marine borer attack and loss of structural integrity. Over time, the results of these dynamics threaten increased seawall and soil loss which in turn will result in increased repair costs and potential loss of historic structures.

Ranking Categories: Identify the percent of the project that is in the following categories of need.

<u>50</u> % Critical Health or Safety Deferred Maintenance	<u>0</u> % Critical Mission Deferred Maintenance
<u>0</u> % Critical Health or Safety Capital Improvement	<u>0</u> % Compliance & Other Deferred Maintenance
<u>50</u> % Critical Resource Protection Deferred Maintenance	<u>0</u> % Other Capital Improvement
<u>0</u> % Critical Resource Protection Capital Improvement	

Capital Asset Planning 300B Analysis Required: YES: NO: X **Total Project Score:** 850

Project Costs and Status

Project Cost Estimate:		\$'s	%	Project Funding History:	
Deferred Maintenance Work :		\$8,452,000	100	Appropriated to Date: \$ 0	
Capital Improvement Work:		\$0	0	Requested in FY 2006 Budget: \$ 8,452,000	
Total Component Estimate:		\$8,452,000	100	Required to Complete Project: \$ 0	
Class of Estimate: <u>B</u>				Project Total: \$ 8,452,000	
Estimate Good Until: <u>09/30/06</u>					
Dates: <u>Sch'd</u> (qtr/fy)				Project Data Sheet	
Construction Start/Award <u>1/2006</u>				Prepared/Last Updated: <u>1/19/2005</u>	
Project Complete: <u>4/2008</u>				Unchanged Since Departmental Approval:	
				YES: NO: <u>X</u>	

Annual Operations Costs

Current:	Projected:	Net
<u>\$ 0</u>	<u>\$ 0</u>	Change: \$
		<u>0</u>

PENNSYLVANIA

Park Operational Base Summary: The table below shows the annual park operating base for all park units within this state. Park operational base funds are supplemented by as yet undetermined amounts of project funding from regional or servicewide-managed programs, such as cyclic maintenance, the Natural Resources Preservation Program, and the Drug Enforcement Program.

If a park unit is in more than one state, then the park unit is included in each of the appropriate state tables. The full operating base is shown; no attempt has been made to split the park operating base amount between two or more states.

		(dollars in thousands)				
Congress ¹		FY 2004	FY 2005	FY 2006	FY 2006	FY 2006
District	Park Units/Trails/Affiliated Areas	Enacted	Estimate	Uncontrol	Program	Request
				Changes	Changes	
09,12	Allegheny Portage Railroad NHS	1,998	2,046	40	0	2,086
00	Appalachian NST	1,024	1,054	18	0	1,072
	FY 2005 Visitor Service Increase ¹	0	83	0	0	83
	Total Appalachian NST	[1,024]	[1,137]	[18]	[0]	[1,155]
10,15	Delaware Water Gap NRA & Middle Delaware NSR	8,097	8,312	200	0	8,512
01	Edgar Allan Poe NHS	372	381	0	0	381
19	Eisenhower NHS	1,045	1,071	0	0	1,071
09	Flight 93 NMem	198	563	14	0	577
12	Fort Necessity NB	1,258	1,288	38	0	1,326
20	Friendship Hill NHS	406	416	0	0	416
	FY 2005 Visitor Service Increase ¹	0	74	0	0	74
	Total Friendship Hill NHS	[406]	[490]	[0]	[0]	[490]
19	Gettysburg NMP	5,174	5,483	186	0	5,669
06,16	Hopewell Furnace NHS	1,053	1,079	23	0	1,102
01	Independence NHP	21,016	21,715	399	0	22,114
	FY 2005 Visitor Service Increase ¹	0	141	0	0	141
	Total Independence NHP	[21,016]	[21,856]	[399]	[0]	[22,255]
12	Johnstown Flood Natl Memorial	723	741	0	0	741
00	Potomac Heritage NST	216	221	2	0	223
	FY 2005 Visitor Service Increase ¹	0	29	0	0	29
	Total Potomac Heritage NST	[216]	[250]	[2]	[0]	[252]
10	Steamtown NHS	4,995	5,116	58	0	5,174
01	Thaddeus Kosciuszko Natl Memorial	138	142	0	0	142
10	Upper Delaware Scenic & Rec River	2,696	2,744	60	0	2,804
	FY 2005 Visitor Service Increase ¹	0	92	0	0	92
	Total Upper Delaware Scenic & Rec River	[2,696]	[2,836]	[60]	[0]	[2,896]
07,13	Valley Forge NHP	6,226	6,378	118	0	6,496
	FY 2005 Visitor Service Increase ¹	0	148	0	0	148
	Total Valley Forge NHP	[6,226]	[6,526]	[118]	[0]	[6,644]

All FY 2006 increases consist of uncontrollable funding related to pay and benefits. Fleet and management efficiency savings have yet to be distributed at the park level.

This table does not include funding for Trails and Other Affiliated Areas that are not park units, nor programs from other appropriations such as General Management Plans, Land Acquisition, Line Item Construction, Federal Lands Highway Program, and Historic Preservation Fund State Grants. Information on the distribution of funds to these entities and programs is outlined on the following pages. There are separate sections on General Management Plans and the Trails Management Program.

¹These funds are part of a total \$12.478 million distributed to 67 parks, 10 trails, 3 affiliated areas, and servicewide trail GIS support that was provided in FY 2005 to bolster visitor services. These funds are not considered a permanent addition to any of the parks' operational base funding. The continuation of these funds beyond FY 2005 is contingent upon a review of park base operations at all parks prior to distribution of the enacted FY 2006 appropriation. Should this examination determine that the funds could be more efficiently utilized to provide services at other parks, the funds will be moved (subject to reprogramming guidelines).

PENNSYLVANIA

FY 2006 Programmatic Park Base Increases

NONE

PENNSYLVANIA

Trails and Other Affiliated Areas Operational Base Summary: The table below shows the annual operating base for all Trails and Other Field Offices and Affiliated Areas that are not park units, within this state.

If a trail or affiliated area is in more than one state, it is included in each of the appropriate state tables. The full operational base is shown; no attempt has been made to split the operational base between two or more states.

	(dollars in thousands)				
	FY 2004 Enacted	FY 2005 Estimate	FY 2006 Uncontrol Changes	FY 2006 Program Changes	FY 2006 Request
Trails and Affiliated Areas					
Chesapeake Bay Project Office	440	451	11	0	462
Gloria Dei (Old Swede's) Church NHS	32	33	0	0	33
North Country NST	596	611	0	0	611
FY 2005 Visitor Services Increase ¹	0	30	0	0	30
Total North Country NST	[596]	[641]	[0]	[0]	[641]
Pinelands N Res & New Jersey Coastal Heritage Trail	515	529	7	0	536
FY 2005 Visitor Services Increase ¹	0	246	0	0	246
Total Pinelands N Res & New Jersey Coastal Heritage Trail	[515]	[775]	[7]	[0]	[782]

FY 2006 fleet and management efficiency savings have yet to be distributed at the entity level.

This table does not include funding for programs from other appropriations such as General Management Plans, Land Acquisition, Line Item Construction, Federal Lands Highway Program, and Historic Preservation Fund State Grants. Information on the distribution of funds in these programs is outlined on the following pages. There are separate sections on General Management Plans and the Trails Management Program.

¹These funds are part of a total \$12.478 million distributed to 67 parks, 10 trails, 3 affiliated areas, and servicewide trail GIS support that was provided in FY 2005 to bolster visitor services. These funds are not considered a permanent addition to any of the parks' operational base funding. The continuation of these funds beyond FY 2005 is contingent upon a review of park base operations at all parks prior to distribution of the enacted FY 2006 appropriation. Should this examination determine that the funds could be more efficiently utilized to provide services at other parks, the funds will be moved (subject to reprogramming guidelines).

PENNSYLVANIA (NCR)
FY 2006 Proposed Program
(dollars in thousands)

PROGRAMS AND PROJECTS FUNDED OUTSIDE OF THE OPERATING ACCOUNT:

GENERAL MANAGEMENT PLANS (See GMP section for further information)
Potomac Heritage NST Potential New Start

SPECIAL STUDIES (See GMP section for further information)
None

LAND ACQUISITION
None

CONSTRUCTION: LINE ITEM CONSTRUCTION
None

HISTORIC PRESERVATION FUND: STATE GRANTS
State apportionment: \$826

STATE CONSERVATION GRANTS
None

PENNSYLVANIA (NER)
FY 2006 Proposed Program
(dollars in thousands)

PROGRAMS AND PROJECTS FUNDED OUTSIDE OF THE OPERATING ACCOUNT:

GENERAL MANAGEMENT PLANS (See GMP section for further information)

<u>Park Area</u>	<u>Type of Project</u>
Flight 93 N Mem	Ongoing Project
Hopewell Furnace NHS	Ongoing Project
Johnstown Flood N Mem	Potential New Start
Valley Forge NHP	Ongoing Project

SPECIAL STUDIES (See GMP section for further information)

<u>Study Area</u>	<u>Type of Project</u>
None	

LAND ACQUISITION (see attached)

<u>Park Area</u>	<u>Remarks</u>	<u>Funds</u>
Flight 93 Natl Meml	1,556 acres	\$4,281

CONSTRUCTION: LINE ITEM CONSTRUCTION (see attached)

<u>Park Area</u>	<u>Type of Project</u>	<u>Funds</u>
	Rehabilitate Deschler-Morris-Bringhurst House	
Independence NHP	Utilities and Exhibits	\$3,932
Independence NHP	Complete Landscaping on Independence Mall	\$2,000

HISTORIC PRESERVATION FUND: STATE GRANTS

State apportionment: \$826

STATE CONSERVATION GRANTS

None

**National Park Service
PROJECT DATA SHEET**

Project Score/Ranking:	550
Planned Funding FY:	2006
Funding Source:	Line Item Construction

Project Identification

Project Title: Deshler-Morris/Bringhurst House Utilities and Exhibit Rehabilitation		
Project No: 019891	Unit/Facility Name: Independence National Historical Park	
Region: Northeast	Congressional District: 01	State: PA

Project Justification

FCI-Before: 0.42	FCI-Projected: 0.01	API: 28
--------------------------------	-----------------------------------	-----------------------

Project Description: The Deshler-Morris House is one of the most historically significant homes in Philadelphia. In 1973 the adjoining Bringhurst House was added to serve as a visitor orientation and exhibit space for tours of the Deshler-Morris House. Project estimates were developed and proposed over the years but due to a lack of funding, nothing else was done. Now both houses are threatened with antiquated utilities, inadequate fire/intrusion alarms, hazardous materials, deteriorating historic fabric, and a lack of basic visitor facilities (including public restrooms). This project will replace a 40-year-old HVAC system; provide a complete fire suppression system and emergency electrical lighting, upgrade intrusion alarms and plumbing/electrical systems, provide a real time communications link (possibly video) with the park (8 miles away), complete overdue repairs on the original wood and masonry, replace leaking roofs, provide structural supports and subsurface drainage, produce exhibits for a new orientation area, provide public restrooms and accessibility ramps, and remove hazardous materials.

Project Need/Benefit: This historic resource is unique and irreplaceable for a couple of reasons. The Deshler-Morris house first served as headquarters for British General Howe during the Battle of Germantown in 1777. Also known as the Germantown "White House", this site was later home to President Washington and his family in 1793 & 1794. It is the oldest existing presidential residence in the United States, is on the National Register of Historic Places, on the list of Classified Structures, and is one of the most intact 18th century structures in America (Deshler-Morris house is 80% original fabric). The Bringhurst House is now vacant, except for an employee housing unit and in poor condition. Located 8 miles from the core park buildings of Independence National Historical Park, the houses are vulnerable to catastrophic damage or loss due to antiquated utilities, lack of fire suppression, intrusion alarms, and deteriorating original fabric.

This project would dramatically reduce routine and emergency oversight by park law enforcement and maintenance staff, also stationed 8 miles from the site. Long overdue building and utility repairs will greatly reduce physical threats, preventing further damage to original historic fabric and museum collections. The new visitor orientation area would include interpretive exhibits and public restrooms supporting tours of the historic Deshler-Morris house. Adding accessible public restrooms, interpretive exhibits will meet basic visitor expectations. Accessibility ramps would better serve the majority of our elderly volunteer guides along with a significant portion of our visitors. Visitation to the Deshler-Morris House has significantly increased since 1997 due to organized efforts in the Germantown area to increase tourism and school educational programs at historic sites.

Ranking Categories: Identify the percent of the project that is in the following categories of need.

10 % Critical Health or Safety Deferred Maintenance	40 % Critical Mission Deferred Maintenance
0 % Critical Health or Safety Capital Improvement	0 % Compliance & Other Deferred Maintenance
40 % Critical Resource Protection Deferred Maintenance	10 % Other Capital Improvement
0 % Critical Resource Protection Capital Improvement	

Capital Asset Planning 300B Analysis Required: YES: NO: **X** **Total Project Score:** **550**

Project Costs and Status

Project Cost	\$'s	%	Project Funding History:	
Estimate:			Appropriated to Date:	\$ 0
Deferred Maintenance	\$3,538,800	90	Requested in FY 2006 Budget:	\$ 3,932,000
Work :			Required to Complete Project:	\$ 0
Capital Improvement	\$393,200	10	Project Total:	\$ 3,932,000
Work:				
Total Component	\$3,932,000	100		
Estimate:				
Class of Estimate:	B			
Estimate Good Until:	09/30/06			
Dates:	Sch'd (qtr/fy)		Project Data Sheet	Unchanged Since
Construction Start/Award	2/2006		Prepared/Last Updated: 1/21/2005	Departmental Approval:
Project Complete:	2/2007			YES: NO: X

Annual Operations Costs

Current:	Projected:	Net Change:
\$ 30,000	\$ 30,000	\$ 0

National Park Service
PROJECT DATA SHEET

Project Score/Ranking:	NA
Planned Funding FY:	2006
Funding Source:	Line Item Construction

Project Identification

Project Title: Complete Landscaping on Independence Mall		
Project No: 0089616	Unit/Facility Name: Independence National Historical Park	
Region: Northeast	Congressional District: 01	State: PA

Project Justification

FCI-Before: 0.21	FCI-Projected: 0.00	API: 24
Project Description: This will provide federal funding towards the completion of the \$300 million Independence Mall Redevelopment Project. These funds will be added to existing partner funds towards the completion of the landscape treatment on Independence Mall. Included in this scope of work will be the demolition and removal of the previous Liberty Bell Pavilion.		
Project Need/Benefit: NPS has been working with a number of other governmental entities (City of Philadelphia, Commonwealth of Pennsylvania) and private foundations in the development of four new buildings and the associated landscape treatment of the three-block Independence Mall. These funds will be leveraged with additional private funds to complete this project.		
Ranking Categories: Identify the percent of the project that is in the following categories of need.		
0 % Critical Health or Safety Deferred	0 % Critical Mission Deferred Maintenance	
0 % Critical Health or Safety Capital Improvement	0 % Compliance & Other Deferred Maintenance	
0 % Critical Resource Protection Deferred Maintenance	0 % Other Capital Improvement	
0 % Critical Resource Protection Capital Improvement		

Capital Asset Planning 300B Analysis Required: YES: NO: X	Total Project Score: NA
---	-------------------------

Project Costs and Status

Project Cost \$'s % Estimate: Deferred Maintenance \$0 0 Work : Capital Improvement \$0 0 Work: Total Component \$6,742,000 100 Estimate: Class of Estimate: A Estimate Good Until: 09/30/06	Project Funding History: Appropriated to Date: \$ 4,742,000 Requested in FY 2006 Budget: \$ 2,000,000 Required to Complete Project: \$ 0 Project Total: \$ 6,742,000
Dates: Sch'd (qtr/yy) Construction Start/Award 1/2006 Project Complete: 4/2006	Project Data Sheet Prepared/Last Updated: 1/19/2005 Unchanged Since Departmental Approval: YES: NO: x

Annual Operations Costs

Current:	Projected:	Net
\$146,132	\$146,132	Change: \$
		0

Fiscal Year 2006 National Park Service Federal Land Acquisition Program

Program or Park Area: **Flight 93 National Memorial**

National Park Service Land Acquisition Priority (FY 2006): Priority No. 1

Location: South-central Pennsylvania

State/County/Congressional District: Commonwealth of Pennsylvania/Somerset County/Congressional District No. 9.

Land Acquisition Limitation Amount Remaining: There is no limitation.

Cost Detail: The new Flight 93 National Memorial has significant donated funds that are being used, combined with a base budget for operations (including maintenance), including an increase in FY 2005. These funds take into account the cost of operating with this anticipated land as the park physical base.

Date	Acres	Total Amount (\$000)
FY 2006 Request	1,556	\$4,281
Future Funding Need	644	\$5,719

The total amount includes cost of: title, appraisal, environmental site assessment, acquisition, relocation assistance.

FY 2005: \$2.214 million requested; \$0 appropriated

Planning for the memorial was underway in fiscal year 2003 and identification of land acquisition priorities was completed in fiscal year 2004.

Description: The Act of September 24, 2002 (P.L. 107-226), established, as a unit of the National Park System, the crash site of United Airlines Flight 93 in Stonycreek Township, Somerset County, Pennsylvania. On September 11, 2001, the passengers and crew of Flight 93 courageously gave their lives thwarting a planned attack. Flight 93 National Memorial will be a permanent memorial to the heroes on that plane.

The Conservation Fund (TCF), a non-profit conservation organization, is assisting with the protection of lands at the national memorial. Donations to TCF of 29 acres by PBS Coals and 140 acres by CONSOL Energy will ensure protection of portions of the crash site.

Natural/Cultural Resources Associated with Proposal: Over 2,000 acres in south-central Pennsylvania became a resting place for these victims of terror. The National Park Service, in partnership with the Flight 93 Memorial Task Force, the Flight 93 Advisory Commission, and Families of Flight 93, Inc., will acquire the land and provide a place for future generations to honor these brave men and women.

Threat: The lands which were touched by debris from the explosion of the airplane house businesses of many types, from mining to farming to scrap yards. The people and companies which own these lands have put their lives on hold, or have carefully proceeded, being mindful of the event which occurred there. They need to continue to earn a livelihood and return to normal ways of doing business.

Need: The funds requested, \$4,281,000, will be used to purchase ten high priority tracts that include portions of the impact site and several buildings used by the FBI and State police during the investigation of the event.

DOI Strategic Goal: Resource protection: protect cultural and heritage resources.

RHODE ISLAND

Park Operational Base Summary: The table below shows the annual park operating base for all park units within this state. Park operational base funds are supplemented by as yet undetermined amounts of project funding from regional or servicewide-managed programs, such as cyclic maintenance, the Natural Resources Preservation Program, and the Drug Enforcement Program.

If a park unit is in more than one state, then the park unit is included in each of the appropriate state tables. The full operating base is shown; no attempt has been made to split the park operating base amount between two or more states.

		(dollars in thousands)				
Congress'l District Park Units		FY 2004	FY 2005	FY 2006	FY 2006	FY 2006
		Enacted	Estimate	Uncontrol Changes	Program Changes	Request
01	Roger Williams Natl Memorial	354	362	9	0	371

All FY 2006 increases consist of uncontrollable funding related to pay and benefits. Fleet and management efficiency savings have yet to be distributed at the park level.

This table does not include funding for Trails and Other Affiliated Areas that are not park units, nor programs from other appropriations such as General Management Plans, Land Acquisition, Line Item Construction, Federal Lands Highway Program, and Historic Preservation Fund State Grants. Information on the distribution of funds to these entities and programs is outlined on the following pages. There are separate sections on General Management Plans and the Trails Management Program.

RHODE ISLAND

FY 2006 Programmatic Park Base Increases

NONE

RHODE ISLAND (NER)
FY 2006 Proposed Program
(dollars in thousands)

PROGRAMS AND PROJECTS FUNDED OUTSIDE OF THE OPERATING ACCOUNT:

GENERAL MANAGEMENT PLANS (See GMP section for further information)
None

SPECIAL STUDIES (See GMP section for further information)
None

LAND ACQUISITION
None

CONSTRUCTION: LINE ITEM CONSTRUCTION
None

HISTORIC PRESERVATION FUND: STATE GRANTS
State apportionment: \$483

STATE CONSERVATION GRANTS
None

VERMONT

Park Operational Base Summary: The table below shows the annual park operating base for all park units within this state. Park operational base funds are supplemented by as yet undetermined amounts of project funding from regional or servicewide-managed programs, such as cyclic maintenance, the Natural Resources Preservation Program, and the Drug Enforcement Program.

If a park unit is in more than one state, then the park unit is included in each of the appropriate state tables. The full operating base is shown; no attempt has been made to split the park operating base amount between two or more states.

		(dollars in thousands)				
Congress'l District	Park Units	FY 2004	FY 2005	FY 2006	FY 2006	FY 2006
		Enacted	Estimate	Uncontrol Changes	Program Changes	Request
	00 Appalachian NST	1,024	1,054	18	0	1,072
	FY 2005 Visitor Service Increase ¹	0	83	0	0	83
	Total Appalachian NST	[1,024]	[1,137]	[18]	[0]	[1,155]
	00 Marsh-Billings-Rockefeller NHP	1,739	1,783	27	0	1,810

All FY 2006 increases consist of uncontrollable funding related to pay and benefits. Fleet and management efficiency savings have yet to be distributed at the park level.

This table does not include funding for Trails and Other Affiliated Areas that are not park units, nor programs from other appropriations such as General Management Plans, Land Acquisition, Line Item Construction, Federal Lands Highway Program, and Historic Preservation Fund State Grants. Information on the distribution of funds to these entities and programs is outlined on the following pages. There are separate sections on General Management Plans and the Trails Management Program.

¹ These funds are part of a total \$12.478 million distributed to 67 parks, 10 trails, 3 affiliated areas, and servicewide trail GIS support that was provided in FY 2005 to bolster visitor services. These funds are not considered a permanent addition to any of the parks' operational base funding. The continuation of these funds beyond FY 2005 is contingent upon a review of park base operations at all parks prior to distribution of the enacted FY 2006 appropriation. Should this examination determine that the funds could be more efficiently utilized to provide services at other parks, the funds will be moved (subject to reprogramming guidelines).

VERMONT

FY 2006 Programmatic Park Base Increases

NONE

VERMONT (NER)
FY 2006 Proposed Program
(dollars in thousands)

PROGRAMS AND PROJECTS FUNDED OUTSIDE OF THE OPERATING ACCOUNT:

GENERAL MANAGEMENT PLANS (See GMP section for further information)
None

SPECIAL STUDIES (See GMP section for further information)
None

LAND ACQUISITION
None

CONSTRUCTION: LINE ITEM CONSTRUCTION
None

HISTORIC PRESERVATION FUND: STATE GRANTS
State apportionment: \$481

STATE CONSERVATION GRANTS
None

VIRGINIA

Park Operational Base Summary: The table below shows the annual park operating base for all park units within this state. Park operational base funds are supplemented by as yet undetermined amounts of project funding from regional or servicewide-managed programs, such as cyclic maintenance, the Natural Resources Preservation Program, and the Drug

If a park unit is in more than one state, then the park unit is included in each of the appropriate state tables. The full operating base is shown; no attempt has been made to split the park operating base amount between two or more states.

		(dollars in thousands)				
Congress'l District	Park Units	FY 2004	FY 2005	FY 2006	FY 2006	
		Enacted	Estimate	Uncontrol Changes	Program Changes	FY 2006 Request
	00 Appalachian NST	1,024	1,054	18	0	1,072
	FY 2005 Visitor Service Increase ¹	0	83	0	0	83
	Total Appalachian NST	[1,024]	[1,137]	[18]	[0]	[1,155]
	05 Appomattox Court House NHP	1,255	1,285	22	0	1,307
	08 Arlington House	936	960	0	0	960
	01 Assateague Island NS	3,385	3,815	73	0	3,888
05,06,09,10	Blue Ridge Parkway	13,452	13,795	260	0	14,055
	05 Booker T Washington NM	692	723	15	0	738
	FY 2005 Visitor Service Increase ¹	0	74	0	0	74
	Total Booker T Washington NM	[692]	[797]	[15]	[0]	[812]
	10 Cedar Creek and Belle Grove NHP	223	278	2	0	280
	01 Colonial NHP	5,435	5,826	167	0	5,993
	FY 2005 Visitor Service Increase ¹	0	143	0	0	143
	Total Colonial NHP	[5,435]	[5,969]	[167]	[0]	[6,136]
	09 Cumberland Gap NHP	2,283	2,766	66	0	2,832
01,07	Fredericksburg/Spotsylvania NMP	3,404	3,486	56	0	3,542
	FY 2005 Visitor Service Increase ¹	0	148	0	0	148
	Total Fredericksburg/Spotsylvania NMP	[3,404]	[3,634]	[56]	[0]	[3,690]
	01 George Washington Birthplace NM	1,127	1,154	36	0	1,190
08,10	George Washington Mem Parkway	10,095	10,339	208	0	10,547
	10 Harpers Ferry NHP	5,737	5,866	141	0	6,007
	03 Maggie L Walker NHS	556	570	15	0	585
	10 Manassas NBP	2,326	2,374	46	0	2,420
	04 Petersburg NB	2,444	2,503	80	0	2,583
	FY 2005 Visitor Service Increase ¹	0	172	0	0	172
	Total Petersburg NB	[2,444]	[2,675]	[80]	[0]	[2,755]
	00 Potomac Heritage NST	216	221	2	0	223
	FY 2005 Visitor Service Increase ¹	0	29	0	0	29
	Total Potomac Heritage NST	[216]	[250]	[2]	[0]	[252]
01,11	Prince William Forest Park	2,731	2,784	67	0	2,851
	FY 2005 Visitor Service Increase ¹	0	233	0	0	233
	Total Prince William Forest Park	[2,731]	[3,017]	[67]	[0]	[3,084]
03,07	Richmond NBP	2,487	2,545	37	0	2,582
	00 Rock Creek Park	6,259	6,490	113	0	6,603
	FY 2005 Visitor Service Increase ¹	0	173	0	0	173
	Total Rock Creek Park	[6,259]	[6,663]	[113]	[0]	[6,776]
06,07,10	Shenandoah NP	10,169	10,406	209	0	10,615
	10 Wolf Trap NP	3,277	3,351	78	0	3,429
	FY 2005 Visitor Service Increase ¹	0	227	0	0	227
	Total Wolf Trap NP	[3,277]	[3,578]	[78]	[0]	[3,656]

All FY 2006 increases consist of uncontrollable funding related to pay and benefits. Fleet and management efficiency savings have yet to be distributed at the park level.

This table does not include funding for Trails and Other Affiliated Areas that are not park units, nor programs from other appropriations such as General Management Plans, Land Acquisition, Line Item Construction, Federal Lands Highway Program, and Historic Preservation Fund State Grants. Information on the distribution of funds to these entities and programs is outlined on the following pages. There are separate sections on General Management Plans and the Trails Management

¹ These funds are part of a total \$12.478 million distributed to 67 parks, 10 trails, 3 affiliated areas, and servicewide trail GIS support that was provided in FY 2005 to bolster visitor services. These funds are not considered a permanent addition to any of the parks' operational base funding. The continuation of these funds beyond FY 2005 is contingent upon a review of park base operations at all parks prior to distribution of the enacted FY 2006 appropriation. Should this examination determine that the funds could be more efficiently utilized to provide services at other parks, the funds will be moved (subject to

VIRGINIA

FY 2006 Programmatic Park Base Increases

NONE

VIRGINIA

Trails and Other Affiliated Areas Operational Base Summary: The table below shows the annual operating base for all Trails and Other Field Offices and Affiliated Areas that are not park units, within this state.

If a trail or affiliated area is in more than one state, it is included in each of the appropriate state tables. The full operational base is shown; no attempt has been made to split the operational base between two or more states.

	(dollars in thousands)				
	FY 2004 Enacted	FY 2005 Estimate	FY 2006 Uncontrol Changes	FY 2006 Program Changes	FY 2006 Request
Trails and Affiliated Areas					
Chesapeake Bay Project Office	440	451	11	0	462
Jamestown 2007	0	0	0	400	400
Overmountain NHT	163	168	3	0	171

FY 2006 fleet and management efficiency savings have yet to be distributed at the entity level.

This table does not include funding for programs from other appropriations such as General Management Plans, Land Acquisition, Line Item Construction, Federal Lands Highway Program, and Historic Preservation Fund State Grants. Information on the distribution of funds in these programs is outlined on the following pages. There are separate sections on General Management Plans and the Trails Management Program.

VIRGINIA (NCR)
FY 2006 Proposed Program
(dollars in thousands)

PROGRAMS AND PROJECTS FUNDED OUTSIDE OF THE OPERATING ACCOUNT:

GENERAL MANAGEMENT PLANS (See GMP section for further information)

<u>Park Area</u>	<u>Type of Project</u>
Manassas National Battlefield Park	Ongoing Project
Potomac Heritage NST	Ongoing Project

SPECIAL STUDIES (See GMP section for further information)

<u>Study Area</u>	<u>Type of Project</u>
George Washington Memorial	
Parkway Trail Extension	Potential New Start

LAND ACQUISITION (see attached)

<u>Park Area</u>	<u>Remarks</u>	<u>Funds</u>
Prince William Forest Park	19 acres	\$1,175

CONSTRUCTION: LINE ITEM CONSTRUCTION (see attached)

<u>Park Area</u>	<u>Type of Project</u>	<u>Funds</u>
George Washington Meml Pkwy	Rehabilitate Arlington House, Outbuildings, and Grounds	\$1,251
Wolf Trap NP	Replace Main Gate Facility at Filene Center, Phase I	\$4,285

HISTORIC PRESERVATION FUND: STATE GRANTS

State apportionment: \$664

STATE CONSERVATION GRANTS

None

VIRGINIA (NER)
FY 2006 Proposed Program
(dollars in thousands)

PROGRAMS AND PROJECTS FUNDED OUTSIDE OF THE OPERATING ACCOUNT:

GENERAL MANAGEMENT PLANS (See GMP section for further information)

<u>Park Area</u>	<u>Type of Project</u>
Appamatox Courthouse NHP	Ongoing Project
Cedar Creek & Belle Grove NHP	Ongoing Project
Fredericksburg & Spotsylvania County	
Battlefields Memorial NMP	Ongoing Project
George Washington Birthplace NM	Ongoing Project
Petersburg NB	Ongoing Project

SPECIAL STUDIES (See GMP section for further information)

<u>Study Area</u>	<u>Type of Project</u>
Chesapeake Bay Sites	Ongoing Study

LAND ACQUISITION

None

CONSTRUCTION: LINE ITEM CONSTRUCTION (see attached)

<u>Park Area</u>	<u>Type of Project</u>	<u>Funds</u>
Shenandoah NP	Rehabilitate and Remodel Panorama Facility as Visitor / Learning Center	\$4,835

HISTORIC PRESERVATION FUND: STATE GRANTS

State apportionment: \$664

STATE CONSERVATION GRANTS

None

VIRGINIA (SER)
FY 2006 Proposed Program
(dollars in thousands)

PROGRAMS AND PROJECTS FUNDED OUTSIDE OF THE OPERATING ACCOUNT:

GENERAL MANAGEMENT PLANS (See GMP section for further information)
Cumberland Gap NHP Ongoing Project

SPECIAL STUDIES (See GMP section for further information)
None

LAND ACQUISITION
None

CONSTRUCTION: LINE ITEM CONSTRUCTION (see attached)

<u>Park Area</u>	<u>Project Title</u>	<u>Funds</u>
Blue Ridge Parkway	Replace Otter Creek Bridge and Campground Services	\$804

HISTORIC PRESERVATION FUND: STATE GRANTS
State apportionment: \$664

STATE CONSERVATION GRANTS
None

National Park Service
PROJECT DATA SHEET

Project Score/Ranking:	775
Planned Funding FY:	2006
Funding Source:	Line Item Construction

Project Identification

Project Title: <u>Replace Otter Creek Bridge and Campground Services</u>		
Project No: <u>066249</u>	Unit/Facility Name: <u>Blue Ridge Parkway</u>	
Region: <u>Southeast</u>	Congressional District: <u>06</u>	State: <u>VA</u>

Project Justification

FCI-Before: <u>1.02</u>	FCI-Projected: <u>0.45</u>	API: <u>23</u>
<p>Project Description: This funding will be used to replace the existing Otter Creek Bridge that provides access to a major Blue Ridge Parkway campground known as Otter Creek. The project also includes the relocation of the campground kiosk, reconstruction of a stone retaining wall, and relocation of sewer, electric and water lines for the concession-operated restaurant facility.</p> <p>Project Need/Benefit: Otter Creek campground has 68 campsites and is used by thousands of visitors throughout the year. It is one of two campgrounds, and the only one in Virginia, that remain open for winter visitors. This existing bridge was installed when the campground was originally constructed. The bottom of the bridge deck is approximately 4' above the creek bed. Three to four times per year, a rainfall event occurs that is significant enough to cause flooding in the area. Once the flooding starts, debris is washed downstream. Because of the design of the existing bridge, the debris is trapped under the bridge, creating a "dam". This "dam" causes the water to backup and spill over the bridge, piling debris up against the bridges guardrails. The water then is diverted around the end of the bridge causing the floodwaters to leave the natural channel. This has resulted in significant erosion that is threatening a concession facility. Damage has occurred to the outdoor patio area used by the concessionaire as an outdoor dining area. This damage has been significant enough that the patio area is scheduled for removal in FY2001 as the erosion has undermined the area to a point that it is extremely unsafe. Until this bridge is raised it is not economically feasible to continue to repair this patio. Although no floodwaters have actually damaged the concession building itself, documentation shows that the water has reached the structure. Floodwaters have reached the campground entrance station/kiosk and have caused damage including saturation of the carpeting and interior woodwork. The diverted floodwaters also spill into a sanitary sewer manhole, flooding the sewage treatment system causing untreated wastewater to be released into Otter Creek. This is a direct threat to public health and severely impacts these trout waters and other wildlife habitat. The bridge is the only means of ingress and egress for the campground. Whenever a rainfall event is significant enough to present a threat of flooding, all visitors are required to leave the Otter Creek campground before the bridge is flooded.</p> <p>Flooding threatens the life of anyone not made to evacuate and any property that is left behind. Prior to the policy of requiring evacuation, a visitor was allowed to remain in the campground. This visitor experienced life threatening medical problems and required transport to a medical facility. The flooded bridge required that this person be hand carried, by a lifesaving crew, for approximately one-mile along a power line right-of-way. The campground was not flooded at the time, only the bridge. Raising this bridge out of this creek's natural channel will eliminate the need to evacuate the campground due to floodwaters.</p>		
<p>Ranking Categories: Identify the percent of the project that is in the following categories of need.</p> <p>50 % Critical Health or Safety Deferred Maintenance 25 % Critical Mission Deferred Maintenance</p> <p>0 % Critical Health or Safety Capital Improvement 0 % Compliance & Other Deferred Maintenance</p> <p>25 % Critical Resource Protection Deferred Maintenance 0 % Other Capital Improvement</p> <p>0 % Critical Resource Protection Capital Improvement</p>		
Capital Asset Planning 300B Analysis Required: YES: NO: <u>X</u>		Total Project Score: <u>775</u>

Project Costs and Status

Project Cost \$'s % Estimate: Deferred Maintenance \$804,000 100 Work : Capital Improvement \$0 0 Work: Total Component \$804,000 100 Estimate: Class of Estimate: B Estimate Good Until: 09/30/06 Dates: Sch'd (qtr/fy) Construction Start/Award 1/2006 Project Complete: 4/2006	Project Funding History: Appropriated to Date: \$ 0 Requested in FY 2006 Budget: \$ 804,000 Required to Complete Project: \$ 0 Project Total: \$ 804,000 Project Data Sheet Prepared/Last Updated: 1/20/2005 Unchanged Since Departmental Approval: YES: NO: <u>X</u>
---	---

Annual Operations Costs

Current:	Projected:	Net Change:
\$ 43,000	\$ 41,000	(\$ 2,000)

National Park Service
PROJECT DATA SHEET

Project Score/Ranking:	850
Planned Funding FY:	2006
Funding Source:	Line Item Construction

Project Identification

Project Title: Rehabilitation of Arlington House, Outbuildings and Grounds		
Project No: 016018	Unit/Facility Name: George Washington Memorial Parkway	
Region: National Capital	Congressional District: 08	State: VA

Project Justification

FCI-Before:	0.06	FCI-Projected:	0.01	API:	30
-------------	------	----------------	------	------	----

Project Description: This modifies previous descriptions of this project submitted with the FY 2002 and FY 2003 funding requests, portions of which were rescinded with Congressional approval to address less-than-full restoration of FY2003 fire costs.. Further review of the scope of work during design has identified the following immediate needs to be completed by combining the remaining appropriated funds with the requested funding; additional work required for long-term preservation is being deferred to a future project: install an addressable fire detection and suppression system for Arlington House, the dependencies (slave quarters), potting shed, new comfort station and mechanical bunkers; install a new climate management mechanical system for the mansion (80%) and the north dependency; rehabilitate slave quarters in north dependency; replace roof of south dependency; improve site accessibility; demolish existing comfort station within historic area; construct new comfort station and new mechanical bunker outside the historic area; and salvage and relocate existing gas-fired boiler and pumps to new mechanical bunker for existing forced-air heating and underground mechanical-electrical-plumbing service to mansion and dependencies.

Project Need/Benefit: The purpose of this project is to address life and health safety hazards and the most critical preservation needs of the Arlington House and related historic structures and grounds. The existing fire detection system is incapable of identifying all vulnerable locations and alerting staff to a specific fire location in time to prevent catastrophic loss of historic fabric, so adequate fire protection will be provided for all structures on the site. Existing hazards to employees will be mitigated by upgrading electrical and communications systems sufficient to support the site's existing and future needs. Damage to artifacts and the interior of the structures caused by dust that is tracked into the mansion and inadequate climate control will be reduced by improving grounds and walkways and by upgrading mechanical systems. The historic fabric of the dependencies will be preserved by replacing a failing roof on the south dependency and rehabilitating the north dependency. The historic scene will be improved by removing the existing comfort station. Improvements to the grounds and walkways and replacement of the existing restrooms will also provide ADA-compliant facilities for employee and public use.

Ranking Categories: Identify the percent of the project that is in the following categories of need.

30 % Critical Health or Safety Deferred Maintenance 0 % Critical Mission Deferred Maintenance
30 % Critical Health or Safety Capital Improvement 0 % Compliance & Other Deferred Maintenance
40 % Critical Resource Protection Deferred Maintenance 0 % Other Capital Improvement
0 % Critical Resource Protection Capital Improvement

Capital Asset Planning 300B Analysis Required: YES: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NO: <input type="checkbox"/>	Total Project Score: 850
--	--------------------------

Project Costs and Status

Project Cost	\$'s	%	Project Funding History:		
Estimate:			Appropriated to Date:	\$	984,000
Deferred Maintenance	\$1,565,000	70	Requested in FY 2006 Budget:	\$	1,251,000
Work :			Planned Funding:	\$	0
Capital Improvement	\$70,000	30	Project Total:	\$	2,235,000
Work:					
Total Component	\$2,235,000	100			
Estimate:					
Class of Estimate:	B				
Estimate Good Until:	09/30/06				
Dates:	Sch'd (qtr/fy)		Project Data Sheet		Unchanged Since
Construction Start/Award:	3/2006		Prepared/Last Updated: 1/19/2005		Departmental
Project Complete:	3/2007				Approval: YES: NO: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

Annual Operations Costs

Current:	\$	Projected:		Net Change:
936,000		\$ 966,000		\$ 30,000

National Park Service
PROJECT DATA SHEET

Project Score/Ranking:	640
Planned Funding FY:	2006
Funding Source:	Line Item Construction

Project Identification

Project Title: Rehabilitate and Remodel Panorama Facility as Visitor/Learning Center		
Project No: 012009		Unit/Facility Name: Shenandoah National Park
Region: Northeast	Congressional District: 10	State: VA

Project Justification

FCI- Before: 0.22	FCI-Projected: 0.00	API: 26
--------------------------	----------------------------	----------------

Project Description: This project will alter the former concession-owned Panorama Building from its original function as a restaurant and gift shop with concessioner housing in order to strategically centralize visitor programs and park operations in a year-round facility. The existing 12,444-square-foot building will be rehabilitated and a 2-story addition will be constructed to provide the following visitor and administrative facilities: a visitor information/orientation desk, a backcountry permit registration station, space for interpretive exhibits and Civilian Conservation Corps (CCC) museum pieces, a multipurpose room on the upper level for an orientation film and school group use during inclement weather, book sales and storage areas for the Shenandoah National Park Association (SNPA), a multi-purpose education/training room on the lower level for public programs and staff training with table workspace and audio-visual capabilities, and offices, work space, and restrooms for SNPA and all of the park staff functions (visitor education, interpretation, law enforcement, fee collection, and backcountry/wilderness coordinator).

Building alterations will include hazardous materials abatement, installation of an elevator and stairway addition for ADA compliance, installation of new energy efficient windows, repairs to the exterior of the building, and demolition and upgrading of utilities and demolition and replacement of interior walls and finishes to accommodate the new uses. The existing water main will be replaced and upgraded to meet code requirements. A separate vehicle-storage building, funded primarily by the Fire Management Program, will be constructed at the site to accommodate search and rescue, emergency medical services, and wildland fire equipment.

Project Need/Benefit: This project will provide a year-round facility for Shenandoah National Park's nearly 1.5 million annual visitors. The Panorama Building is strategically located at the intersection of U.S. Hwy 211 and Skyline Drive and is the only park visitor facility situated on a major cross-mountain highway maintained by the state. Visitors must travel 20 miles from this park entrance before encountering a visitor center that is only open from April through November, with no visitor services currently available from December through March. A year-round visitor/learning center at this second busiest entrance to the park would increase visitor safety, knowledge and enjoyment of park opportunities, and the understanding and appreciation of park natural and cultural resources necessary to discourage negative behaviors that degrade the resources. The exhibits will include historic artifacts and will address interpretive themes that are not covered in other park facilities. The facility will provide for the indoor component of adult education programs, as well as, an orientation and staging area, lunch space, and inclement weather options for school programs. Creation of work space for law enforcement and SNPA staff at this location will allow the park to return housing units currently used as offices to their original purpose of providing seasonal park staff housing and to eliminate two trailers presently used for offices. The consolidation of park staff functions will improve operational efficiency and the location of the facility also makes it ideal for park and NPS meetings, training sessions, and conferences. Local communities and stakeholders will benefit from the increase in family recreational and educational opportunities (especially in the winter months), increased tourism, and improved resource stewardship. In-kind services from the cooperating association equal to operational costs of \$40,000 per year will help support visitor services. Display of CCC-era museum objects will encourage the national Alumni of the CCC to continue their efforts to help the park tell a currently "untold story" and primary park theme of the "building of Shenandoah National Park" by the CCC in the 1930s and 1940s.

Ranking Categories: Identify the percent of the project that is in the following categories of need.

0 % Critical Health or Safety Deferred Maintenance	40 % Critical Mission Deferred Maintenance
40 % Critical Health or Safety Capital Improvement	0 % Compliance & Other Deferred Maintenance
0 % Critical Resource Protection Deferred Maintenance	0 % Other Capital Improvement
20 % Critical Resource Protection Capital Improvement	

Capital Asset Planning 300B Analysis Required: YES: NO: X **Total Project Score:** 640

Project Costs and Status

Project Cost	\$'s	%	Project Funding History:
Estimate:			Appropriated to Date: \$ 0
Deferred Maintenance	\$1,934,000	40	Requested in FY 2006 Budget: \$ 4,835,000
Work :			Required to Complete Project: \$ 0
Capital Improvement	\$2,901,000	60	Project Total: \$ 4,835,000
Work:			
Total Component	\$4,835,000	100	
Estimate:			
Class of Estimate:	B		
Estimate Good Until:	09/30/06		
Dates:	Sch'd (qtr/fy)	Project Data Sheet	Unchanged Since
Construction Start/Award	1/2006	Prepared/Last Updated:	Departmental Approval:
		1/21/2005	YES: NO: X
Project Complete:	1/2007		

Annual Operations Costs

Current:	Projected:	Net Change:
\$ 30,000	\$ 490,000	\$ 460,000

National Park Service
PROJECT DATA SHEET

Project Score/Ranking:	250
Planned Funding FY:	2006
Funding Source:	Line Item Construction

Project Identification

Project Title: Replace Main Gate Facility at Filene Center
Project No: 077438 Unit/Facility Name: Wolf Trap National Park
Region: National Capital Congressional District: 10 State: VA

Project Justification

FCI- Before: 0.08	FCI-Projected: 0.0	API: 22
---	------------------------------------	-------------------------

Project Description: This project will replace the functionally obsolete Main Gate structure and three temporary trailers at the Filene Center in order to protect the health and safety of park visitors, volunteers, and employees and to provide enhanced visitor services and security. The Main Gate provides box office/ticketing, theater concessions, and primary restroom facilities for visitors. The temporary trailers serve as office/operational space for usher, U.S. Park Police, ticket services, and interpretive staff. Replacement structures will contain improved visitor use facilities with updated and well-ventilated restrooms; enhanced concession operations; improved facilities for law enforcement; enhanced security and communication features; and adequate office areas with accessible restrooms for employees and volunteers. This project will be constructed from late September to May over two consecutive years.

Project Need/Benefit: Wolf Trap National Park for the Performing Arts is the only national park dedicated solely to performing arts. The Filene Center is a premiere amphitheater with annual attendance of 500,000 patrons at 90-100 summer performances. Members of Congress, other high-ranking government officials, and foreign dignitaries frequently attend. Wolf Trap Foundation officials have supported this project by providing funding to begin conceptual design. The facilities to be replaced are inadequate to meet the needs of park visitors, volunteers, and Foundation and NPS employees, and do not meet current standards. The Main Gate structure is a vital operational facility that accommodates ticket sales, visitor restrooms, and concession activities. It is now too small and functionally obsolete; in poor condition; does support electrical, communication, information technology and security equipment requirements; and does not meet ADA standards. Long lines of patrons outside the box office windows interfere with visitor flow and only one ticket window is accessible. Electrical service is at maximum capacity and inadequate for modern box office technology. Public restrooms do not meet user loading standards resulting in long lines before, during, and after performances and do not comply with ADA standards. Negative comments about inadequate restrooms show up repeatedly in visitor surveys. There are no fire detection or suppression systems and prevailing winds could carry embers to the nearby amphitheater in the event of a fire. Employee workspace is cramped, inefficient and hazardous. Separation of ticket services from the box office requires employees to walk unprotected through the theater plaza with large amounts of cash. The three trailers have been considered "temporary" for over 20 years and have inadequate space for their functions, especially during performance season. Two have no restroom facilities for employees; none have fire suppression systems or meet ADA standards; and all have deteriorated to the point that the cost of repair exceeds their value. The Park Police facility has inadequate space to process suspects or to securely store weapons and evidence, and its physical distance from theater operations slows officer response to incidents. Up to 30 usher employees use two small rooms and up to 120 volunteer ushers check in and out of a 10' x 12' room nightly during the performing season (this is one of the largest NPS volunteer programs, logging 40,970 hours in FY 2002). The second trailer serves as year-round center for Foundation ticket services employees who must walk over 125 yards to the public restrooms in all weather conditions. The third trailer serves as full-time NPS interpretive office and is over 40 years old. A small two-room, log cabin serves as the Ranger Station, the primary visitor contact and EMT facility where seven rangers and fourteen volunteers conduct dispatch and other operations during performances surrounded by visitors, first aid/EMT cases, etc. The building has little storage space for sensitive equipment and an inadequate one-person restroom, and is not special-needs accessible.

Ranking Categories: Identify the percent of the project that is in the following categories of need.

5 % Critical Health or Safety Deferred Maintenance	35 % Critical Mission Deferred Maintenance
0 % Critical Health or Safety Capital Improvement	0 % Compliance & Other Deferred Maintenance
0 % Critical Resource Protection Deferred Maintenance	60 % Other Capital Improvement
0 % Critical Resource Protection Capital Improvement	

Capital Asset Planning 300B Analysis Required: YES: ☒ NO: ☐

Total Project Score: [250](#)

Project Costs and Status

Project Cost	\$'s	%	Project Funding History:
Estimate:			
Deferred Maintenance	\$2,902,000	40	Appropriated to Date: \$ 0
Work :			
Capital Improvement	\$4,353,000	60	Requested in FY 2006 Budget: \$ 4,285,000
Work:			
Total Component	\$7,255,000	100	Required to Complete Project: \$ 2,970,000
Estimate:			
Class of Estimate:	B		Project Total: \$ 7,255,000
Estimate Good Until:	09/30/07		
Dates:	Sch'd (qtr/fy)		Project Data Sheet
Construction Start/Award	4/2006		Prepared/Last Updated: 1/19/2005
			Unchanged Since
			Departmental Approval:
			YES: NO: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Project Complete:	3/2008		

Annual Operations Costs

Current:	Projected:	Net
\$ 3,267,000	\$ 3,277,000	Change: \$ 10,000

Fiscal Year 2006 National Park Service Federal Land Acquisition Program

Program or Park Area: **Prince William Forest Park**

National Park Service Land Acquisition Priority (FY 2006): Priority No. 11

Location: Near Quantico, Virginia.

State/County/Congressional District: Commonwealth of Virginia/Prince William County/Congressional District Nos. 10 and 11.

Land Acquisition Limitation Amount Remaining: None. Legislation should be enacted to increase the limitation as needed.

Cost Detail: There is a need for one-time funding of \$1.9 million to realign the Park entrance for increased visitor safety. There are no out-year costs associated with this request.

Date	Acres	Total Amount (\$000)
FY 2006 Request	19	\$1,175
Future Funding Need	1,461	\$20,325

The total amount includes cost of: title, appraisal, environmental site assessment, acquisition, relocation assistance.

FY 2005: no funds appropriated

FY 2004: no funds appropriated

FY 2003: \$0.700 million appropriated

Improvements: Minimal.

Description: In the Act of June 22, 1948, (Public Law 80-736), Congress designated Prince William Forest Park, and authorized land acquisition by donation or purchase. The park, consisting of reclaimed and reforested land, was conceived primarily as a wooded recreational retreat.

Natural/Cultural Resources Associated with Proposal: The park features numerous campgrounds, recreational and instructional swimming programs in the park's two lakes, and an extensive network of hiking trails which enable the visitor to experience the diversity of topography, plants and wildlife found within the watershed. Quantico Creek's noteworthy water quality continues to serve as a baseline for numerous research studies conducted by State and local environmental agencies as well as several universities in the region.

Threat: During the past 5-10 years, several residential developments have been completed in proximity to the park. Development of privately owned lands within the park would endanger the watershed and limit recreational opportunities.

Need: The requested funds are needed to acquire two tracts totaling 19 acres that are needed to prevent development that would adversely impact the park. A 7.85-acre tract is located in the north-central portion of the park along Virginia Route 234 and provides an attractive site for development due to its location which features access to shopping and transportation. An 11.15-acre tract located on Route 234 within the upper watershed of Quantico Creek is the only commercially zoned property within the park boundary, with the exception of the few properties located in the immediate vicinity of the I-95/Route 234 interchange.

Interaction with Landowners and Partners: The owners have indicated willingness to sell these tracts. The Service has garnered support for these willing-seller acquisitions from local Congressional representatives, the Mayor of Dumfries, the Friends of Prince William Forest Park, the Piedmont Environmental Council and the Quantico Creek Watershed Committee.

DOI Strategic Goal: Serving communities: protect lives and property and Recreation: ensure access to appropriate recreation opportunities on DOI lands.

WEST VIRGINIA

Park Operational Base Summary: The table below shows the annual park operating base for all park units within this state. Park operational base funds are supplemented by as yet undetermined amounts of project funding from regional or servicewide-managed programs, such as cyclic maintenance, the Natural Resources Preservation Program, and the Drug Enforcement Program.

If a park unit is in more than one state, then the park unit is included in each of the appropriate state tables. The full operating base is shown; no attempt has been made to split the park operating base amount between two or more states.

		(dollars in thousands)				
Congress'l District	Park Units	FY 2004	FY 2005	FY 2006	FY 2006	FY 2006
		Enacted	Estimate	Uncontrol Changes	Program Changes	Request
	00 Appalachian NST	1,024	1,054	18	0	1,072
	FY 2005 Visitor Service Increase ¹	0	83	0	0	83
	Total Appalachian NST	[1,024]	[1,137]	[18]	[0]	[1,155]
	03 Bluestone NSR	68	70	1	0	71
	01 Chesapeake & Ohio Canal NHP	8,386	8,686	181	0	8,867
	FY 2005 Visitor Service Increase ¹	0	204	0	0	204
	Total Chesapeake & Ohio Canal NHP	[8,386]	[8,890]	[181]	[0]	[9,071]
	03 Gauley River NRA	233	239	4	0	243
	02 Harpers Ferry NHP	5,737	5,866	141	0	6,007
	03 New River Gorge National River	6,790	6,952	133	0	7,085

All FY 2006 increases consist of uncontrollable funding related to pay and benefits. Fleet and management efficiency savings have yet to be distributed at the park level.

This table does not include funding for Trails and Other Affiliated Areas that are not park units, nor programs from other appropriations such as General Management Plans, Land Acquisition, Line Item Construction, Federal Lands Highway Program, and Historic Preservation Fund State Grants. Information on the distribution of funds to these entities and programs is outlined on the following pages. There are separate sections on General Management Plans and the Trails Management Program.

¹These funds are part of a total \$12.478 million distributed to 67 parks, 10 trails, 3 affiliated areas, and servicewide trail GIS support that was provided in FY 2005 to bolster visitor services. These funds are not considered a permanent addition to any of the parks' operational base funding. The continuation of these funds beyond FY 2005 is contingent upon a review of park base operations at all parks prior to distribution of the enacted FY 2006 appropriation. Should this examination determine that the funds could be more efficiently utilized to provide services at other parks, the funds will be moved (subject to reprogramming guidelines).

WEST VIRGINIA

FY 2006 Programmatic Park Base Increases

NONE

WEST VIRGINIA

Trails and Other Affiliated Areas Operational Base Summary: The table below shows the annual operating base for all Trails and Other Field Offices and Affiliated Areas that are not park units, within this state.

If a trail or affiliated area is in more than one state, it is included in each of the appropriate state tables. The full operational base is shown; no attempt has been made to split the operational base between two or more states.

	(dollars in thousands)				
	FY 2004 Enacted	FY 2005 Estimate	FY 2006 Uncontrol Changes	FY 2006 Program Changes	FY 2006 Request
Trails and Affiliated Areas					
Chesapeake Bay Project Office	440	451	11	0	462

FY 2006 fleet and management efficiency savings have yet to be distributed at the entity level.

This table does not include funding for programs from other appropriations such as General Management Plans, Land Acquisition, Line Item Construction, Federal Lands Highway Program, and Historic Preservation Fund State Grants. Information on the distribution of funds in these programs is outlined on the following pages. There are separate sections on General Management Plans and the Trails Management Program.

WEST VIRGINIA (NCR)
FY 2006 Proposed Program
(dollars in thousands)

PROGRAMS AND PROJECTS FUNDED OUTSIDE OF THE OPERATING ACCOUNT:

GENERAL MANAGEMENT PLANS (See GMP section for further information)

Park Area

Harpers Ferry NHP

Type of Project

Ongoing Project

SPECIAL STUDIES (See GMP section for further information)

None

LAND ACQUISITION

None

CONSTRUCTION: LINE ITEM CONSTRUCTION

None

HISTORIC PRESERVATION FUND: STATE GRANTS

State apportionment: \$556

STATE CONSERVATION GRANTS

None

WEST VIRGINIA (NER)
FY 2006 Proposed Program
(dollars in thousands)

PROGRAMS AND PROJECTS FUNDED OUTSIDE OF THE OPERATING ACCOUNT:

GENERAL MANAGEMENT PLANS (See GMP section for further information)

Park Area

New River Gorge NR

Type of Project

Ongoing Project

SPECIAL STUDIES (See GMP section for further information)

None

LAND ACQUISITION

None

CONSTRUCTION: LINE ITEM CONSTRUCTION

None

HISTORIC PRESERVATION FUND: STATE GRANTS

State apportionment: \$544

STATE CONSERVATION GRANTS

Proposed state apportionment: \$991